STATEMENT OF BASIS

Permit Number: SDG820000

Permit Type: General Permit under the South Dakota Surface Water Discharge

System for Minor Non-Discharging Domestic Wastewater Treatment

Facilities in South Dakota

This document is intended to explain the basis for the requirements contained in the proposed General Surface Water Discharge Permit for Minor Non-Discharging Domestic Wastewater Treatment Facilities. This document provides guidance to aid in complying with the proposed general permit requirements. This guidance is not a substitute for reading the proposed general permit and understanding its requirements.

APPLICABILITY

This general permit is proposed for any minor non-discharging wastewater treatment facility treating primarily domestic wastewater using land-based wastewater treatment systems, such as lagoons or artificial wetlands. Wastewater treatment facilities classified as "majors" under an existing Surface Water Discharge Permit are not eligible for coverage under this general permit. In addition, wastewater treatment facilities that do not have capacity for total retention are not eligible for coverage under this proposed general permit.

PERMIT DESCRIPTION

Many wastewater treatment facilities in South Dakota have land-based wastewater treatment systems, such as stabilization ponds or artificial wetland systems. These treatment processes have been employed for wastewater treatment by entities such as municipalities, restaurants, public rest areas, and state- and federally-owned wastewater treatment facilities.

Primary and secondary wastewater treatment is provided in these systems through a series of ponds. Some facilities use aeration equipment in the stabilization ponds to enhance the treatment. Other facilities have artificial wetlands following the stabilization pond system or infiltration/percolation basins to provide additional treatment. The storage and transfer of flows through these systems provides physical, chemical, and biological treatment of wastewater. Natural processes involving sunlight, oxygen, water currents, algae, and bacterial action can significantly decrease the solids, organic load, and pathogen content of the wastewater.

Stabilization ponds and artificial wetland systems typically require large surface areas, relatively shallow depths, and long detention times to ensure adequate treatment. Stabilization ponds are typically designed with a liner to minimize and control the amount of seepage from the ponds. These systems can be designed and operated as continuous discharge, controlled discharge, or total retention facilities. Many facilities discharge at a frequency of once or twice per year (spring and fall). Other facilities are designed to provide total retention of flows, with enough losses occurring through evaporation and seepage to eliminate the need for a discharge.

The South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources (SDDENR) has required a number of wastewater treatment systems to operate as total retention facilities. The requirement for total retention is based on a number of factors, such as the assigned beneficial uses of the receiving streams, the design of the system, or landowner concerns. Permits for these types of facilities are typically very similar and best managed by a general permit.

BACKGROUND

The Administrative Rules of South Dakota (ARSD) 74:52:02:46 provide for the issuance of general permits where covered facilities are located in the same geographic area and meet the following conditions:

- 1. Involve the same or substantially similar types of operations;
- 2. Discharge the same types of waste;
- 3. Require the same effluent limitations, operating conditions, or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal;
- 4. Require the same or similar monitoring; and
- 5. Are more appropriately controlled under a general permit.

Stabilization ponds and/or artificial wetland systems used to treat primarily domestic wastewater that do not discharge to surface waters of the state meet the criteria in ARSD 74:52:02:46. Therefore, the department is proposing a general permit for these types of facilities. This general permit is applicable to minor wastewater treatment facilities located in the state of South Dakota that treat primarily domestic wastes and have the capacity for total retention.

DISCHARGE DESCRIPTION

This proposed general permit does not authorize a discharge except in accordance with the conditions of **Section 3.1 – Prohibition of Bypass, Emergency Discharges and SSOs** of the proposed general permit.

Bypass, Emergency Discharges, and Sanitary Sewer Overflows
The proposed general permit defines the following terms:

A "Bypass" is the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a collection system or treatment facility other than the permitted outfall(s). Bypasses may result in releases from the sanitary sewer collection system (see "Sanitary Sewer Overflow") or emergency releases from the treatment facility (see "Emergency Discharge"). If a bypass results in a release of wastewater, it shall be sampled and reported as either a sanitary sewer overflow from the collection system or an emergency discharge from the treatment facility.

An "Emergency Discharge" is a discharge from the treatment or containment system through a release structure or over or through retention dikes or walls. An emergency discharge is distinguished from a sanitary sewer overflow in that a sanitary sewer overflow discharges wastewater prior to reaching the treatment or containment system.

An emergency discharge is an enforceable violation of the permit unless it is an allowable bypass that does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, an anticipated bypass approved by the Secretary, or an unanticipated bypass allowed under Section 4.2 – Effluent Violation, Bypass, Emergency Discharge, and SSO Reporting Requirements.

A "Sanitary Sewer Overflow" or "SSO" is the intentional or unintentional discharge of untreated sewage from the sanitary sewer collection system, including sewer lines, manholes, lift stations, etc. An SSO is an enforceable violation of the permit unless it is an allowable bypass that does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, an anticipated bypass approved by the Secretary, or an unanticipated bypass allowed under Section 4.2 – Effluent Violation, Bypass, Emergency Discharge, and SSO Reporting Requirements.

In some situations a discharge is not associated with the normal, proper operation and maintenance of a wastewater treatment facility. These discharges are prohibited. However, the Secretary may approve an emergency discharge, SSO, or bypass after considering its adverse effects, if the Secretary determines that it will meet the three conditions listed below:

- 1. The emergency discharge, SSO, or bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, threat to public health, personal injury, or severe property damage;
- 2. There were no feasible alternatives to the emergency discharge, SSO, or bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent an emergency discharge, SSO, or bypass that occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
- 3. The general permittee submitted notices as required in **Section 4.2** of the proposed general permit.

If an emergency discharge, sanitary sewer overflow, or other discharge occurs or is expected to occur, the general permittee shall take the appropriate measures to minimize the discharge of pollutants. Such measures may include the closing of facilities that contribute wastewater to the sanitary sewer system until the discharge is terminated.

PERMIT COVERAGE

Inclusion of the above-described activities under a single surface water discharge general permit appears to be a reasonable approach in regulating these related facilities. The facilities covered under this proposed general permit involve similar types of operations and similar treatment facilities. Therefore, SDDENR has determined that these facilities will be more appropriately controlled under a general permit rather than individual permits.

Appendix B of the proposed general permit contains a copy of the permit application for coverage under the general permit. This represents the minimum information SDDENR needs to provide coverage under the permit.

In some cases, a wastewater treatment facility that qualifies for coverage under the proposed general permit may submit an application for an individual permit. When SDDENR receives an application for coverage under the proposed general permit or an application for an individual permit from a qualified facility, department staff will conduct a thorough review of the application and other available information to determine if the facility is eligible for coverage under the general permit. Based on this review, the department will then make the decision to grant or deny coverage under the general permit, request any additional information necessary, or issue an individual permit. Attachment 2 includes the permit worksheet, which will be completed prior to issuing permit coverage under the proposed general permit. Facilities that meet the conditions for coverage under the proposed general permit still have the option of obtaining an individual permit, if requested. In addition, the Secretary may require an individual permit for a facility, pursuant to the provisions in ARSD Section 74:52:02:47.

Discharges Not Covered

This proposed general permit will not cover the following discharges to surface waters or wastewater treatment facilities:

- 1. Wastewater treatment facilities that primarily treat industrial waste.
 - Facilities which receive industrial wastes from any Significant Industrial User(s) will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
- 2. Wastewater treatment facilities which are required by the department to develop an industrial pretreatment program.
- 3. Wastewater treatment facilities that are identified as a Major facility.
- 4. Wastewater treatment facilities which have a reasonable potential to have whole effluent toxicity (WET) in the wastewater.
- 5. The wastewater treatment facility is required to monitor any emergency discharge, bypass, sanitary sewer overflow, or any other discharge for parameters which are not included in the proposed general permit.
- 6. Wastewater treatment facilities that do not have adequate capacity to be operated as a total retention facility.
 - Some facilities that were originally designed for total retention can no longer be operated as such due to population increases. Similarly, some facilities that were originally designed for seasonal or annual discharges now have sufficient capacity to be treated as a total retention facility due to a significant decrease in population.

7. Wastewater treatment facilities that utilize infiltration/percolation basins with an underdrain collection and discharge system. Wastewater treatment facilities that land apply treated effluent.

Some of the facilities that have these types of treatment have "no discharge" requirements included in their permit. However, this general permit will not address these types of facilities.

REQUIRING AN INDIVIDUAL PERMIT

In accordance with ARSD Section 74:52:02:47, the Secretary may require any owner or operator covered under a general permit to apply for an individual surface water discharge permit for any of the following reasons:

- 1. The discharge is a significant contributor of pollution to waters of the state or it presents a health hazard;
- 2. The facility is not in compliance with the conditions of the general permit;
- 3. A change has occurred in the availability of demonstrated technology or practices for the control or abatement of pollutants applicable to the point source;
- 4. Effluent limitation guidelines are promulgated for point sources covered by the general permit;
- 5. A water quality management plan containing requirements applicable to such point sources is approved.

In addition, a facility covered by this general permit may apply for an individual surface water discharge permit pursuant to the provisions in the SDSWQS (ARSD Section 74:52:02:46).

RECEIVING WATERS

Facilities covered under this proposed general permit have the potential to discharge wastewater to many water bodies. These water bodies are classified by the south Dakota Surface Water Quality Standards (SDSWQS), Administrative Rules of South Dakota (ARSD), Chapters 74:51:02 and 74:51:03 for the following beneficial uses:

- (1) Domestic water supply waters;
- (2) Coldwater permanent fish life propagation waters;
- (3) Coldwater marginal fish life propagation waters;
- (4) Warmwater permanent fish life propagation waters;
- (5) Warmwater semipermanent fish life propagation waters;
- (6) Warmwater marginal fish life propagation waters;
- (7) Immersion recreation waters;
- (8) Limited contact recreation waters:

- (9) Fish and wildlife propagation, recreation, and stock watering waters; and
- (10) Irrigation waters.
- (11) Commerce and Industry waters.

This proposed general permit does not allow a discharge to surface waters except in accordance with **Section 3.1** of the proposed general permit.

TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires states to develop Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for waters at levels necessary to achieve and maintain water quality standards. TMDLs are calculations of the amount of pollution a waterbody can receive and still maintain applicable water quality standards. TMDLs are necessary for waters that do not meet or are not expected to meet water quality standards with the application of technology-based controls for point sources. TMDLs address specific waterbodies, segments of waterbodies, or even entire watersheds, and are pollutant specific. TMDLs must allow for seasonal variations and a margin of safety, which accounts for any lack of knowledge concerning the relationship between pollutant loads and water quality.

Facilities covered under this proposed general permit have the potential to have an emergency discharge of wastewater to waters of the state with an established TMDL. However, this proposed general permit does not allow a discharge except in accordance with **Section 3.1** of the proposed general permit. Therefore, it is not necessary to establish a wasteload allocation for these emergency discharges.

ANTIDEGRADATION

The South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources (SDDENR) has fulfilled the antidegradation review requirements for this proposed general permit. In accordance with South Dakota's Antidegradation Implementation Procedure and the SDSWQS, no further review is required. The results of SDDENR's review are included in Attachment 1.

INSPECTIONS

Personnel from SDDENR regularly conduct compliance inspections of wastewater treatment facilities and will continue to do so for those facilities covered by this proposed general permit. Inspection reports for any facility currently covered under this general permit are available on the SDDENR website or from SDDENR upon request. Attachment 3 includes a list of facilities covered under the current general permit and the respective permit numbers, for reference.

EFFLUENT LIMITS

Effective immediately and lasting through the life of this general permit, there shall be no discharge from the permittee's wastewater treatment facility except in accordance with Section 3.1 of the proposed general permit. If a bypass, emergency discharge, sanitary sewer overflow, or other discharge occurs or is expected to occur, the permittee shall report the discharge in accordance with Section 4.2 of the proposed general permit and take the appropriate

measures to minimize the discharge of pollutants. Such measures may include the closing of facilities that contribute wastewater to the sanitary sewer system until the discharge is terminated.

SELF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Monitoring shall consist of **monthly** inspections of the wastewater treatment facility and all discharge locations to verify that proper operation and maintenance procedures are being practiced and whether or not there is a discharge occurring from this facility. Lift stations located at the wastewater treatment facility which are used solely for transferring wastewater between cells shall be inspected when in use. These lift stations are typically referred to as transfer lift station. All other lift stations shall be inspected on at least a **weekly** basis, although **daily** inspections are recommended. During any sanitary sewer overflow, **daily** inspections of the lift stations shall occur. Documentation of each of these visits shall be kept in a notebook to be reviewed by SDDENR or EPA personnel when an inspection occurs. If a discharge is discovered, the facility and outfall shall be monitored **daily** and the proper authorities shall be notified in accordance with the requirements stated in **Section 4.2** of the proposed general permit.

On October 22, 2015, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the federal register a rule that makes electronic reporting of permit reporting requirements mandatory for all SWD permits. EPA's rule will require all permit reporting requirements (such as permit applications and violation reports) to be submitted electronically. SDDENR is working on programs to meet this requirement and will notify facilities as they become available.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY

Whole Effluent Toxicity testing is not required for this proposed general permit. Facilities covered under this proposed general permit are not authorized to discharge except in accordance with **Section 3.1** in the proposed general permit.

PRETREATMENT

The proposed general permit defines a publicly-owned treatment works as follows:

A **Publicly-Owned Treatment Works** or **POTW** is any device or system used in the treatment, including recycling and reclamation, of municipal sewage or industrial waste of a liquid nature that is owned by the state or a municipality. This term includes sewers, pipes, or other conveyances only if they convey wastewater to a publicly-owned treatment works providing treatment.

Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 403 (40 CFR Part 403), states that publicly-owned treatment works are prohibited from allowing the introduction of certain pollutants from any nondomestic source of wastewater. These prohibited pollutants are detailed in **Section 6.2** of the proposed general permit to ensure the protection of publicly-owned treatment works.

SDDENR is responsible for regulating the discharge from any significant industrial user into a publicly-owned treatment works that does not have an approved pretreatment program. SDDENR is not requiring publicly-owned treatment works regulated by the proposed general permit to develop a pretreatment program in accordance with 40 CFR Part 403. If SDDENR determines it is necessary for a publicly-owned treatment works covered under the proposed general permit to develop a pretreatment program, SDDENR will terminate the facility's coverage under the proposed general permit and issue an individual permit to the publicly-owned treatment works.

SLUDGE

SDDENR does not anticipate that sludge will be removed or disposed of from the facilities covered under this proposed general permit during the life of the general permit. Therefore, the proposed general permit shall not contain sludge disposal requirements. However, if sludge disposal is necessary, the permittee is required to submit to SDDENR a sludge disposal plan for review and approval **prior** to the removal and disposal of sludge.

DRAINAGE ISSUES

The county the permittee is located in has the authority to regulate drainage. The permittee is responsible for getting any necessary drainage permits from the county **prior** to discharging.

ENDANGERED SPECIES

This is a renewal of an existing general permit. No listed endangered species are expected to be impacted by activities related to this permit. However, the US Fish and Wildlife Service has a list of all of the endangered species, listed by county at the following website: https://www.fws.gov/southdakotafieldoffice/SpeciesByCounty_April2016.pdf

The following table lists the endangered species that may be present in South Dakota:

| GROUP | SPECIES |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| BIRD | CRANE, WHOOPING |
| | TERN, LEAST |
| FISH | SHINER, TOPEKA ² |
| | STURGEON, PALLID |
| INSECT | BEETLE, AMERICAN BURYING ¹ |
| INVERTEBRATE | POWESHIEK SKIPPERLING |
| MAMMAL | FERRET, BLACK-FOOTED ³ |
| MUSSEL | MUSSEL, SCALESHELL ⁵ |
| | MUSSEL, HIGGINS EYE 4,5 |

- The American Burying Beetle is presently known for only Gregory, Todd, and Tripp counties. One specimen was recently trapped in southern Bennett County. Historic specimens have been recorded from Haakon and Brookings Counties. A comprehensive status survey has never been completed for the American burying beetle in South Dakota. Until status surveys have been completed, the beetle could and may occur in any county with suitable habitat. Suitable habitat is considered to be any site with significant humus or topsoil suitable for burying carrion.
- ² Although Topeka Shiners have not been formally documented within Clark, Douglas, Jerauld, Kingsbury, McPherson, Spink, or Yankton Counties, the species may still occur in these areas because they contain portions of known occupied Topeka Shiner streams and/or potentially occupied streams that exist within one or more of the three known inhabited watersheds in South Dakota: the James, Vermillion, and Big Sioux.
- ³ Black-footed ferrets have been reintroduced in the Badlands National Park, Buffalo Gap National Grasslands, Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation, Lower Brule Sioux Reservation, Rosebud Sioux Reservation, and Wind Cave National Park.
- ⁴ A fresh dead shell of a Higgins Eye Mussel was found in the Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam on October 27, 2004.
- ⁵ Shells of these species have been found, but no populations have been located.

PERMIT EXPIRATION

A five-year general permit is recommended. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this proposed general permit, a new application must be submitted to SDDENR at least 180 days before the expiration date of the existing permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Secretary. Prior to the expiration of the proposed general permit, all permittees covered under the general permit will receive a new application for coverage.

If this general permit should expire before a new permit is reissued, the terms and conditions of the expired general permit will remain effective and enforceable until the effective date of the reissued general permit. SDDENR will continue the general permit coverage for each facility covered under the proposed general permit upon the expiration date, provided the facility submits an application to continue coverage.

PERMIT CONTACT

This statement of basis and the proposed general permit were developed by Kelsey Holbrook, Engineer I for the Surface Water Quality Program. Any questions pertaining to this statement of basis or the proposed general permit can be directed to the Surface Water Quality Program, at (605) 773-3351.

August 10, 2016

ATTACHMENT 1

Antidegradation Review

| | eceiving Stream: Varies Classification: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 (Varies) |
|----|--|
| | the discharge affects a downstream waterbody with a higher use classification, list its |
| na | me and uses: N/A |
| AP | PLICABILITY |
| 1. | Is the permit or the stream segment exempt from the antidegradation review process under ARSD 74:51:01? Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no, go to question #2. If yes, check those reasons why the review is not required: |
| | Existing facility covered under a surface water discharge permit is operating at or below design flows and pollutant loadings; *Existing effluent quality from a surface water discharge permitted facility is in compliance with all discharge permit limits; |
| | *Existing surface water discharge permittee was discharging to the current stream segment prior to March 27, 1973, and the quality and quantity of the discharge has not degraded the water quality of that segment as it existed on March 27, 1973; *The existing surface water discharge permittee, with DENR approval, has upgraded |
| | or built new wastewater treatment facilities between March 27, 1973, and July 1, 1988; The existing surface water discharge permittee discharges to a receiving water assigned only the beneficial uses of (9) and (10); the discharge is not expected to contain toxic pollutants in concentrations that may cause an impact to the receiving stream; and DENR has documented that the stream cannot attain a higher use |
| | classification. This exemption does not apply to discharges that may cause impacts to downstream segments that are of higher quality; Receiving water meets Tier 1 waters criteria. Any permitted discharge must meet |
| | water quality standards; The permitted discharge will be authorized by a Section 404 Corps of Engineers Permit, will undergo a similar review process in the issuance of that permit, and will be issued a 401 certification by the department, indicating compliance with the state's antidegradation provisions; or |
| | Other: This permit does not allow a discharge, except in accordance with the emergency release, bypass, or sanitary sewer overflow provisions of the proposed permit. |

No further review required.

^{*}An antidegradation review is not required where the proposal is to maintain or improve the existing effluent levels and conditions. Proposals for increased effluent levels, in these categories of activities are subject to review.

ANTIDEGRADATION REVIEW SUMMARY

| | w was not required for reasons stated in this narge must ensure water quality standards will |
|--|---|
| The review has determined that allowed. Any permitted discharacteristics conditions that would not re- appropriate modeling technique. | at degradation of water quality should not be arge would have to meet effluent limits or esult in any degradation estimated through ues based on ambient water quality in the |
| The review has determined the change in water quality in the i | Iternative to discharging to the waterbody. nat the discharge will cause an insignificant receiving stream. The appropriate agency may with the appropriate conditions to ensure water |
| The review has determined, with allowed to discharge effluent maximum daily load (TMDL), effluent limits based on the up | th public input, that the permitted discharge is at concentrations determined through a total. The TMDL will determine the appropriate pstream ambient water quality and the water |
| assimilative capacity of the rece permit effluent limits or conditi based on the upstream ambien | the discharge is allowed. However, the full eiving stream cannot be used in developing the ions. In this case, a TMDL must be completed at water quality and the assimilative capacity |
| allowed by the antidegradation r Other: | eview. |
| | |
| · | |
| | |
| | |
| | mplement antidegradation or any special conditions |
| That are required as a result of this ar | ntidegradation review: |
| | |
| | |
| Kelsey Holbrook | 08/10/2016 |
| Reviewer | Date |
| Albert Spangler, P.E. | 08/10/2016 |
| Feam Leader | Date |

ATTACHMENT 2

SDDENR General No Discharge Permit Worksheet



SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Minor No Discharge General Permit Worksheet

| Application Received Date: | Applic | ation Complete I | Date: | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------|------|
| I. FACILITY INFORMATION | | | | | |
| Permittee Name: | | Permit Number | r: | | |
| Responsible Official: Old Permit Number: | | | | | |
| Facility Contact: | | | | | |
| Mailing Address: | | | | | |
| Phone Number: | Email Address: | | | | |
| Population: | | | | | |
| Has the facility been classified as a Major? | □ Yes □ No | | | | |
| Is the facility required to develop an Indust | rial Pretreatment Pro | gram? | |) | |
| The Carlotte Designation of th | | | | | |
| II. FACILITY DESCRIPTION | | | | | |
| Facility Description: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Industries served by the treatment facility: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Are industries permitted by SDDENR? | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/ | A | | | |
| County: | Legal Description: | | | | |
| Latitude: | Longitude: | | GPS: | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Does the Permittee treat primarily domestic | c wastewater? | es 🗆 No | | | |
| Sanitary Sewer Collection System (SSCS) I | ength (in miles, if kno | wn): | | | |
| Number of Outfalls: | | | | | |
| Outfall XXX Information: | | | | | |
| Latitude: | Longitude: | | GPS: | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Description: | | | | | |
| Outfall XXX Information: | | | | | |
| Latitude: | Longitude: | | GPS: | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Description: | | | | | |

Attach additional sheets for Outfall Descriptions, if necessary.

| III. RECEIVING WATERS | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Receiving Waters: | | | |
| Beneficial Uses: | ☐ (1) Domestic Water Supply Waters | | |
| | ☐ (2) Coldwater Permanent Fish Life Propagation | | |
| | ☐ (3) Coldwater Marginal Fish Life Propagation | | |
| | ☐ (4) Warmwater Permanent Fish Life Propagation Waters | | |
| | ☐ (5) Warmwater Semipermanent Fish Life Propagation Waters | | |
| | ☐ (6) Warmwater Marginal Fish Life Propagation Waters | | |
| | ☐ (7) Immersion Recreation Waters | | |
| | ☐ (8) Limited-Contact Recreation Waters | | |
| | ☐ (9) Fish and Wildlife Propagation, Recreation, & Stock Watering Waters | | |
| | ☐ (10) Irrigation Waters | | |
| | ☐ (11) Commerce & Industry Waters | | |
| Downstream Waterbodies: | | | |
| Uses: | | | |
| Distance from outfall: | | | |
| W. DEDIVER IGGUANG | | | |
| IV. PERMIT ISSUANO | CE INFORMATION | | |
| File Review – Date Completed: | | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Endangered species near the | facility: | | |
| Has the facility discharged in the last five years? \square Yes \square No | | | |
| Circumstances of the discharge: | | | |
| Has a water balance been completed? ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| Does the facility have capacity for total retention? \square Yes \square No | | | |
| Is the permittee eligible for coverage? \square Yes \square No Date coverage granted: | | | |
| | | | |
| Reviewers Name: | Reviewers Name: Title: | | |
| Reviewers Signature: | Date: | | |

ATTACHMENT 3

Facilities Covered Under the Current General Permit

Facilities Covered Under the Current General Permit

| Permit Number | Facility Name |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| SDG820141 | Town of Dimock |
| SDG820150 | Town of Harrold |
| SDG820206 | City of Carthage |
| SDG820214 | City of Hecla |
| SDG820249 | Town of Wolsey |
| SDG820265 | Town of Trent |
| SDG820362 | City of New Underwood |
| SDG820397 | Town of Spencer |
| SDG820419 | Town of Spencer Town of Pierpont |
| SDG820427 | SD State Penitentiary West |
| SDG820451 | SD GFP – Star Academy East |
| SDG820478 | Town of Revillo |
| SDG820532 | City of Bowdle |
| SDG820591 | City of Gary |
| SDG820531 SDG820621 | City of Lake Norden |
| SDG820842 | City of Bonesteel |
| SDG820842 SDG820869 | City of Frankfort |
| SDG820809 SDG820877 | Town of Glenham |
| SDG820907 | Town of Volin |
| SDG820907 SDG820991 | Town of Tolstoy |
| SDG820991 SDG821008 | Vale Sanitary District |
| SDG821008 SDG821300 | SD Developmental Center |
| SDG821300 SDG821458 | 1 |
| | City of Hoven Town of Andover |
| SDG821504 | Town of Canova |
| SDG821521 | |
| SDG821580 | City of Castlewood |
| SDG821598 | City of Florence |
| SDG821661 | City of Aurora |
| SDG821679 | City of Willow Lake |
| SDG821687 | City of De Smet |
| SDG821725 | Town of South Shore |
| SDG821733 | Town of Artesian Town of Corona |
| SDG821814 | |
| SDG821822 | City of Delmont |
| SDG821849 | City of Geddes |
| SDG821865 | City of Oldham |
| SDG821873 | Town of Orient |
| SDG821881 | City of Pickstown |
| SDG821890 | Town of Raymond |
| SDG821911 | Town of Tulare |
| SDG821938 | Town of Hayti |
| SDG821997 | City of Hurley |
| SDG822039 | City of Redfield |
| SDG822071 | Town of Yale |
| SDG822195 | Town of Java |
| SDG822217 | Corson Village Sanitary District |
| SDG822268 | City of Alexandria |
| SDG822306 | City of Burke |
| SDG822390 | Town of Mound City |
| SDG822420 | City of Bristol |

| Permit Number | Facility Name |
|---------------|--|
| SDG822462 | City of Egan |
| SDG822471 | Town of Hudson |
| SDG822586 | Town of Pukwana |
| SDG822594 | St. Onge Water & Sewer |
| SDG822721 | Town of Cresbard |
| SDG822870 | City of Lebanon |
| SDG822888 | Town of Artas |
| SDG823345 | City of Faith |
| SDG823591 | Town of Wessington |
| SDG823604 | Town of Fairfax |
| SDG823612 | Town of Draper |
| SDG823663 | City of Big Stone City |
| SDG823728 | City of Harrisburg |
| SDG823736 | City of Jefferson |
| SDG824015 | City of Humboldt |
| SDG824210 | Fresh Start – Badlands Truck Stop, Inc. |
| SDG824236 | SD GFP – Legion Lake (Custer State Park) |
| SDG824333 | Truck Towne |
| SDG824376 | USNPS – Badlands National Park |
| SDG824716 | Town of Goodwin |
| SDG824741 | Town of Turton |
| SDG824830 | City of Stockholm |
| SDG825020 | City of Blunt |
| SDG825101 | Town of Wasta |
| SDG825151 | Town of Henry |
| SDG825178 | Wonderland Homes Water and Service Company |
| SDG825208 | Vivian Sanitation District |
| SDG825224 | City of Bruce |
| SDG825267 | Town of Claire City |
| SDG825399 | Town of Garden City |
| SDG825828 | Bath Sanitary District |
| SDG825844 | Town of Utica |
| SDG826204 | Town of Wentworth |
| SDG826298 | Lake Madison Sanitary District |
| SDG826352 | City of Brandt |
| SDG826441 | Westport Sanitary District |
| SDG826450 | Lake Poinsett Sanitary District |
| SDG826549 | SD GFP Chief White Crane |
| SDG826719 | Town of Seneca |
| SDG826727 | Town of Hazel |
| SDG826743 | Town of Ravinia |
| SDG826751 | Spring Creek Sanitary District |
| SDG826948 | SD GFP – Snake Creek |
| SDG826999 | Country Village Manufactured Home |
| SDG827006 | Town of Erwin |
| SDG827278 | Tri-Valley School District 49-6 |
| SDG827502 | Simunek Utility |
| SDG827669 | USNPS – Jewel Cave National Park |
| SDG827707 | Richmond Lake Sanitary District |
| SDG827715 | Pickerel Lake Sanitary District |
| SDG827723 | Town of Strandburg |
| SDG827880 | Sutton Bay Golf Resort, LLC |

| Permit Number | Facility Name |
|---------------|--|
| SDG827952 | Edelweiss Mountain Improvement Association |
| SDG828070 | SD GFP – Lake Herman |
| SDG828100 | 1880 Town |
| SDG828151 | Buffalo Chip Campground LLC |
| SDG828177 | Rosedale Hutterian Brethern |
| SDG828291 | Old Elm Springs Hutterian |
| SDG828339 | USNPS – Wind Cave National Park |
| SDG828398 | Horsethief Campground and Resort, LLC |
| SDG828533 | Recreational Adventures Co. |
| SDG829001 | Newdale Colony |