

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE & NATURAL RESOURCES
BOARD OF MINERALS AND ENVIRONMENT

IN THE MATTER OF CLEAN)	
NUCLEAR ENERGY CORP.)	SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF
URANIUM EXPLORATION PERMIT)	WITNESSES AND EXHIBITS
APPLICATION)	BY INTERVENOR BRUCE ELLISON
)	
EXNI 453)	

Intervenor Bruce Ellison, hereby Notices that he may call the following additional witnesses at the Evidentiary Hearing in this matter, including:

1. Brock Greenfield, Commissioner of the S.D. School and Lands Commission;
2. Leola One Feather;

Mr. Zephier is further hereby noticed as an expert, specifically an archeologist. His Curriculum Vitae is attached hereto. The substance of his opinions is contained in Ellison Exhibit 11 and the attached comments of Mr. Zephier comments to the related and adjacent “Jinx” project by Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. on U.S. Forest Service administered land.

The Intervenor further hereby notices a supplemental list of potential exhibits that he intends to offer as evidence in his presentation in this matter or during confrontation of Applicant’s or the State’s or any Intervenor’s witnesses noticed to be called, as follows:

- ELLISON Ex. 8: State Water Quality Monitoring Network – STATE MAP – GRND WATER MONITORING WELLS
- ELLISON Ex. 9: Screen shots: Atlas Well location
- ELLISON Ex. 10: Atlas Well log
- ELLISON Ex. 11: Guise Comment letter and well log
- ELLISON Ex. 12: Emails between SD SHPO and OST Archeologist
- ELLISON Ex. 13: List of Tribal Historian Preservation Officers
- ELLISON Ex. 14: 11/12/24 Letter from Michel Blady to Roberta Hudson
- ELLISON Ex. 15: 11/26/24 Letter from Roberta Hudson to Michael Blady
- ELLISON Ex. 16: Blady authorization to sign.

ELLISON Ex. 17: 3/18/24 Letter, Hudson to Blady
ELLISON Ex. 18: 4/16/24 Letter, CNEC to Hudson
ELLISON Ex. 19: Curran Well Logs
ELLISON Ex. 20: Screen shots: Curran Well

These potential exhibits are publicly available on the DANR Website at:
<https://danr.sd.gov/public/ccdocs.aspx?CCID=CCID123> or,
<https://apps.sd.gov/nr68welllogs/> [Ex. 19-20];
<https://danr.sd.gov/Press/DataAndMapping.aspx> [Ex. 9-10]

A copy of the exhibits is also attached.

This Intervenor reserves the right to amend any disclosed exhibit noticed hereby or previously for its case in chief. The right to designate further exhibits is further hereby reserved to introduce any exhibit in rebuttal that may be necessitated by the testimony and evidence presented during the hearing of this matter.

In accordance with the State's ORDER ON PRE-HEARING MOTIONS AND PROCEDURAL & SCHEDULING ORDER dated 28 August, 2025, a copy of this list and the herein-referenced exhibits will be made available to all parties by electronic means or where unavailable, by U.S. mail. See, accompanying Certificate of Service.

Dated this 12th day of May, 2026.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Bruce Ellison
BRUCE ELLISON, ESQ.
Individual Intervenor
P.O. Box 2508
Rapid City, SD 57709
bruce.ellison4@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Intervenor, BRUCE ELLISON hereby certifies that copies of the above-listed exhibits were shared via electronic means with the following parties in the above captioned matter:

Brenda Binegar

Department of Ag & Natural Resources
523 E Capitol Ave Pierre, SD 57501
Brenda.Binegar@state.sd.us

Steven R. Blair

Deputy Attorney General
Minerals, Mining, & Superfund Program
PO Box 6221 Rapid City, SD 57702

Helen Red Feather

PO Box 173 Wounded Knee, SD 57794

Darlene Hawk Wing

PO Box 25 Wounded Knee, SD 57794

Ruddell Bear Shirt

PO Box 88 Wounded Knee, SD 57794

Beverly Larson

PO Box 82 Wounded Knee, SD 57794

Seth Eagle Bear, Jr.

PO Box 44 Wounded Knee, SD 57794
setheaglebear276@gmail.com

Matthew Naasz, CNEC Counsel

Gunderson, Palmer, Nelson, & Ashmore LLP
PO Box 8045 Rapid City, SD 57709
mnaasz@gpna.com

Steven Gunn, Attorney at Law

Oglala Sioux Tribe
PO Box 1204 Pine Ridge, SD 57770
sjgunn37@gmail.com

Cheryl Angel

1212 Columbus St Rapid City, SD 57701
c_ann_angel@yahoo.com

Sanders Schaller

322 4th St Smithwick, SD 57782
sanders.schaller@protonmail.com

Sarah Peterson

510 Jennings Hot Springs, SD 57747
hummingbirdpottery@yahoo.com

Thomas O'Connor

4601 Mohawk St Lincoln, NE 68510
tmoconnor7@gmail.com

Candi Brings Plenty

725 St Charles St Rapid City SD 57701
twospiritwarriorqueen@gmail.com

Robert Bordeaux

740 University St #3 Spearfish, SD 57783
robertswaneybordeaux@gmail.com

Denise Giago

221 E Jackson St Rapid City, SD 57701
denisergiago@gmail.com

Taylor Gunhammer

221 E Jackson St Rapid City, SD 57701
taylor@ndncollective.org

Jean Roach

3711 Ivy Avenue
Rapid City, SD 57701
jeanne0860@gmail.com

Caryn Lerman

337 S 5th St Hot Springs, SD 57747
rajni1love@gmail.com

Ailine Maea

715 Haines Ave #3 Rapid City, SD 57701
amaea@hesapaotipi.org

Julie Plachta
PO Box 635 Hot Springs, SD 57747
julieplachta.lmt@gmail.com

Mashanaposhe Camp
PO Box 339 Porcupine, SD 57772
poshcamp0311@gmail.com

Susan McPhail Pang
28017 Cascade Rd Hot Springs, SD 57747
sownative@gmail.com

Ben R. Sharp
28290 W Flagpole Rd Hot Springs, SD 57747
ben.sharp@goldenwest.net

Jeremiah Davis
130 E Centennial St Rapid City, SD 57701
jeremiahjaydavis@gmail.com

Susan Hey
312 N 40th St Rapid City, SD 57702
butterflysue@midco.net

Law Office of Bruce Ellison
PO Box 2508 Rapid City, SD 57709
bruce.ellison4@gmail.com

~~Michael Melius, BHG Sierra Club~~
~~PO Box 1624 Rapid City, SD 57709~~
~~pingothenbingo@gmail.com~~

Marla Cooley
145 S Garden St Hot Springs, SD 57747
marlacooleypm.me@protonmail.com

Lilias Jones Jarding
PO Box 591 Rapid City, SD 57709
nobhuranium@gmail.com

Elizabeth Lone Eagle
c/o Lakota Prairie Ranch
PO Box 656 Kyle, SD 57752
bridgeradvocate@gmail.com

George Nelson, Attorney at Law
Counsel, Black Hills Group Sierra Club
2640 Jackson Blvd Ste 1 Rapid City, SD 57702
gjnlaw@gmail.com

Gena Parkhurst
514 Americas Way #20805 Box Elder, SD
57719
gmp66@hotmail.com

Michelle Tyon
PO Box 1838 Pine Ridge, SD 57770
micheletyon@gmail.com

Reno L. Red Cloud
PO Box 4052 Pine Ridge, SD 57770
renorc@gwtc.net

Tonya Stands
202 Bald Eagle #8 Rapid City, SD 57701
preservehumanity@gmail.com

Kimberly Craven, Attorney General
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe
PO Box 590 Eagle Butte, SD 57625
CRSTAttorneyGeneral@protonmail.com

Brenda Gamache
2337 Wilson Ave Hot Springs, SD 57747
Brendagamache49@gmail.com

Dated this 15th day of May, 2026.

/s/ Bruce Ellison

Nitrate + Nitrite as N

Nitrate (NO_3^-) and Nitrite (NO_2^-) are sources of nitrogen and common contaminants in ground water and drinking water. Since it is difficult to parse nitrogen from nitrate and nitrogen from nitrite, this parameter is reported as one number. Nitrate + nitrite is measured as units of milligrams per liter (mg/L.) Sources of organic nitrates include agricultural fertilizers, livestock manure, and human sewage. Nitrite is an unstable ion of nitrogen and oxygen in nature and often oxidizes to nitrate. Nitrate is the more stable ion in the environment and highly soluble. Therefore, nitrate is more common in ground water, surface water, and drinking water.

Nitrate and nitrite pose a health risk to infants less than 6 months old. Because young infants' digestive systems lack a mature assemblage of microorganisms, nitrate and nitrite can rob hemoglobin of oxygen in the bloodstream, leading to methemoglobinemia (commonly known as blue baby syndrome.)

In nature, nitrate and nitrite can load nutrients into bodies of water leading to algal blooms, a process known as eutrophication. As algae die and settle, their decomposition removes oxygen dissolved in the water creating anoxia. Organisms suffer from mass die offs in anoxic water, reducing biodiversity. In fact, nitrate and nitrite from fertilizer runoff from agricultural fields wash down the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers creating the infamous "dead zone" in the Gulf of Mexico.

To reduce risks to human health, the EPA set a maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 10 parts per million (mg/L) for nitrate-nitrogen in drinking water.

Ammonia

Ammonia (NH_3) is highly soluble in water and is naturally produced from the breakdown of nitrogenous plant and animal matter. It is also a common nitrogenous waste product of aquatic organisms. It is introduced into ground water and surface water from industrial waste and agricultural fertilizers. In South Dakota, ammonia contamination is mostly resulted from agricultural applications. It is measured in units of milligrams per liter (mg/L.)

Upon dissolution, ammonia (NH_3) will react with water to form ammonium (NH_4^+ .) A typical range of ammonium in aquifers is 0 to 2 mg/L (Nielsen and Nielsen, 2007.) Ammonia oxidizes to nitrite and nitrate. In low-oxygen environments, microorganisms reduce nitrate to nitrite and ultimately nitrite to ammonium. This may explain one phenomenon observed in the monitoring wells at a monitoring site; if the shallow well exhibited high nitrate + nitrites, the deeper well sometimes exhibited higher ammonia. Nitrates + nitrites higher in the water column is reduced to ammonium deeper down.

Currently, there are no mandated EPA drinking water standards for ammonia in drinking water, although ammonia is toxic to aquatic life. There is an EPA lifetime health advisory of 30 mg/L,

which is an acceptable drinking water level not expected to cause any adverse noncarcinogenic effects for a lifetime of exposure.

Phosphorous

Phosphorous is found naturally in rocks, plants, and soil. It is an essential nutrient for life. Phosphorous can be introduced into the environment through agricultural fertilizers, industrial wastes, sludges, and detergents and usually occurs as the orthophosphate ion (PO_4^{3-}) in water. Phosphorous is measured in units of milligrams per liter (mg/L.)

While phosphorous is mainly stored in soil through a process called adsorption, excess phosphorous can dissolve and move into surface or ground water. Runoff from rainstorms or excess irrigation is a significant way for phosphorous or soil containing phosphorous to enter streams and rivers. Phosphorous is removed from soil through plant uptake. Usually, the process of harvesting removes some phosphorous from an agricultural field since it is stored in seeds and fruits. This lost phosphorous is replaced through applying additional fertilizer which can load more phosphorous into soil and water.

Like other nutrients, excess phosphorous in bodies of water can lead to eutrophication. Eutrophication is an excess growth of algae (algal bloom) in a water body. As the algae die and settle, their decomposition removes oxygen dissolved in the water creating anoxia, reducing biodiversity.

Currently, there are currently no EPA drinking water standards for phosphorous.

Total Dissolved Solids

Total dissolved solids (TDS) is a salinity measure of all substances dissolved in ground water, including organic, inorganic, and suspended particles that can pass through fine filters. TDS is measured as units of milligrams per liter (mg/L.) TDS is not a measure of how cloudy water is. For example, seawater has a high TDS even though it can appear quite clear while lake and river water has a lower TDS even though they can appear quite cloudy. Because they are not dissolved, the cloudiness is due to suspended particles that can be easily filtered out.

Rainwater or irrigation water percolating downward through rocks or soil dissolves ionic and non-ionic particles; the concentration of particles increases in ground water as the percolated water makes contact.

Agriculture can also increase TDS through the application of manure and other organic matter on fields; irrigation in a dry climate can increase salinity through evaporation of water leaving behind the ionic and non-ionic particles which can be percolated downward into ground water. Domestic wastewater discharge can increase TDS since it often contains household detergents and water softeners. High salinity leads to degraded crop production and water quality over time.

Since TDS is a measure of how concentrated dissolved particles are in a body of water, TDS increases in closed systems. For example, TDS of pure rainwater is 10 mg/L, pristine freshwater lakes and rivers ranges from 10 to 200 mg/L, and seawater is 35,000 mg/L. TDS of ground water ranges from 100 to 50,000 mg/L, depending on the aquifer (https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/gama/docs/coc_salinity.pdf.)

The EPA set the secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL) for TDS at 500 mg/L.

pH

pH is a measure of how acidic or basic (alkaline) a water-based solution is. The pH scale is logarithmic and inversely indicates the concentration of hydrogen ions in a solution, the lower the pH number, the higher the concentration of hydrogen ions. A pH less than 7 is acidic and greater than 7 is basic or alkaline. A pH of exactly 7 is neutral. Most shallow ground water in South Dakota would be slightly acidic to slightly alkaline because it is in glacial deposits. In South Dakota, glacial deposits consist of igneous and metamorphic erratics, sand and gravel, silt, and till consisting of ground up limestone and dolostone. It is this ground up limestone and dolostone that gives the ground water its slight alkalinity. A typical range of pH in aquifers is 6.5 to 8.5 (Nielsen and Nielsen, 2007.)

To put the pH values of the shallow aquifers in context, the pH value of battery acid is 0, black coffee is 5, milk is 6.3-6.6, seawater around 8, and bleach is 13.5.

Specific Conductance

The amount of positive and negative ions of dissolved salts affect the ability of ground water to conduct electricity. The higher amount of dissolved salts in a water-based solution allows the water to better conduct electricity; that water has a higher specific conductance (SC.) Specific conductance is measured in units of microsiemens per centimeter ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.)

Water that has a SC greater than 1000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ is salty and harmful to freshwater aquatic life. Excess SC can be created from stormwater runoff of road salt used in the winter, wastewater discharge, and manure runoff (iwqis.iowawis.org/app/.)

Currently, there are no EPA drinking water standards for specific conductance.

References

California State Water Resources Control Board, 2017, *Groundwater Information Sheet Salinity* https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/gama/docs/coc_salinity.pdf

Environmental Protection Agency, 2018, *2018 Edition of the Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories Tables*: Office of Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 12 p.

[epa.gov/sdwa/2018-drinking-water-standards-and-advisory-tables](https://www.epa.gov/sdwa/2018-drinking-water-standards-and-advisory-tables)

IIHR-Hydroscience & Engineering, Iowa Water Quality Information System

iwqis.iowawis.org/app/

Nielsen, D.M. and Nielsen, G.L., 2007, *The Essential Handbook of Ground-Water Sampling*: CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 309 p.



T007S R002E S23

T007S R002E S24

T007S R003E S19

T007S R002E S26

T007S R002E S25

T007S R003E S30

Black Hills National Forest



T007S R002E S33

T007S R002E S36

T007S R003E S31





T007S R002E S23

T007S R002E S24

T007S R003E S19

T007S R002E S26

T007S R002E S25

T007S R003E S30

Black Hills
National
Forest

6132 (1 of 2) ▶ □ ✕

Compl Rpt: [00047525.pdf](#)
Last Name:
First Name:
Business: ALTEX MINERALS CORP.
County: Fall River
Well Type: MW
Township:
Range:
Section: 25
QQ: SWSE
Latitude: 43.407883
Longitude: -103.823522
Driller No.: 504
Compl Date: "Sat, 29 Sep 1984
 00:00:00 GMT"
Permit No.:
Depth: 476

[Zoom to](#)



T007S R002E S33

T007S R002E S36

T007S R003E S31





Map navigation controls including zoom in (+), zoom out (-), home, and search fields.

T007S R002E S25

6132 (1 of 2)

Compl Rpt: [00047525.pdf](#)
Last Name:
First Name:
Business: ALTEX MINERALS CORP.
County: Fall River
Well Type: MW
Township:
Range:
Section: 25
QQ: SWSE
Latitude: 43.407883
Longitude: -103.823522
Driller No.: 504
Compl Date: "Sat, 29 Sep 1984 00:00:00 GMT"
Permit No.:
Depth: 476

[Zoom to](#)



FALL RIVER

W.T. COHAN P.E., INC.
MINING & GEOLOGICAL CONSULTANTS
P. O. BOX 2392 (303) 243-7583
GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO 81502
October 15, 1984



State of South Dakota
Department of Water & Natural Resources
Water Rights Division
Pierre, S.D. 57501


Attn: Mr. Gene Selkan:

Dear Mr. Selkan:

Attached please find the geologic log and abandonment schedule for piezometer well No. MW-1 located in SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 25, T7S, R2E, Fall River County. The well was intended to be a piezometer well fitted with 2 inch schedule 40 steel pipe casing. The hole was completed in the top of the Morrison at a depth of 476 feet but was subsequently abandoned when the casing could not be landed at the designed depth of 468 feet.

The project was attempted in September, 1984. The owner is Altex Minerals Corp., P. O. Box 5508 T.A., Denver, CO 80217 (303) 534-0374. However, please contact our office if you have any questions.

Yours truly,


W. T. Cohan P.E.

WTC/ac

cc: Mr. W. M. Shepard
Altex Minerals Corp.

T7S R2E, SECTION 25 SW 1/4 SE 1/4

GEOLOGIC LOG: PIEZOMETER WELL MW-1

COORDINATES: 410784 N 1073609 E

SYSTEM: South Dakota State Grid

COLLAR ELEVATION: 4123 FT 5 1/8

TOTAL DEPTH: 476 Ft; Diameter 5 4/8 inches

GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION: Fall River County, South Dakota

DATE STARTED: 22 September 1984

DATE COMPLETED: Abandoned 29 September 1984

DRILLER: Great Western Pump & Supply, Rapid City, S.D.

EQUIPMENT: Rotary, compressed air & water injection

LITHOLOGIC LOG

<u>DEPTH TO TOP, FT</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMATION</u>
0	Brown, fine grained sandy soil	alluvium
2	Sandstone, tan, F-Mg	Fall River
5	Sandstone, tan, F-Mg with grey claystone interbeds	" "
17	Shale, dark grey	" "
21	Sandstone, tan, V.F.G.	" "
30	" " . M.G.	" "
34	Shale & siltstone, Lt. Grey, tan	" "
40	Shale & siltstone, grey & maroon	" "
50	Sandstone, tan, orange; w/lt grey siltstone interbeds	" "
60	Sandstone w/ occasional siltstone interbeds	" "
94	Claystone & siltstone, dark grey	" "

<u>DEPTH TO TOP, FT</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMATION</u>
95	Sandstone, orange, V.F.G.	Fall River
100	Sandstone, Lt. orange & maroon, V.F.G.; with thin beds of grey siltstone	" "
112	Mudstone, siltstone, very dark grey	" "
125	Sandstone w/siltstone interbeds; lt maroon to grey V.F.G.	" "
135	Sandstone, V.F.G., Lt grey to brick red	" "
140	Sandstone, V.F.G., lt yellow to white	" "
146	Mudstone/shale with occasional sandstone interbeds, dark grey to green grey, trace maroon	" "
195	Maroon sandstone F.G., silty; with minor siltstone interbeds	" "
205	Fine grained, silty sandstone with minor siltstone interbeds; white to light tan	" "
238	Shale with minor siltstone interbeds, maroon; blue green 247-248	" "
248	Sandstone, lt. grey, green, maroon w/minor siltstone interbeds, wet @ 285 ft	" "
278	Shale, green & maroon	Fuson
300(?)	Sandstone, grey	Lakota
345	Mudstone, siltstone	"
355	Sandstone	"
462	Shale	Morrison
476	E.O.H.	

T7S R2E 25 SW¹/₄ SE¹/₄

ABANDONMENT SCHEDULE

DEPTH, FT.	DESCRIPTION
0-0.5	soil
0.5 - 6	concrete
6-377	drill cuttings
377-452	bentonite pellets
452-464	washed sand, -8 mesh
464-476	bentonite pellets

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/19/2026 6:41:21 PM

First name: Bruce

Last name: Giese

Organization:

Title:

Comments: as a landowner adjacent to this proposed mineral exploration. this company has already proposed to drill up to 50 test wells on the state school section directly south of this proposed drill site my land is located within the state school section.

my objection to this proposal and to the one on the state land is the effect on the aquifer in this area. talking with one of the guys who was marking the spots to drill the exploratory wells he had mentioned that by the Dewey burdock mining site to the west of this proposal that several wells had tested hot.

At a meeting in Edgemont recently as reported in the Herald Star February 5 2026 Edition it was stated by enCore that there would be 2000 ft of separation from the Aquifer.

Would this be the case in this area also if so how could this exploration take place on either of the 2 proposals ever be allowed to proceed as adjacent to the forest service proposal there already is a well that is a 476 ft well established by the Altex minerals corp which is known to the clean nuclear energy corp.

Directly south of these proposals are at least 10 -12 wells at varying depths including my well which is at 540 ft deep drilling to the depths that they propose up to 700ft into fragile sandstone rock will fracture the rock and if they try to hydraulically push the uranium out, I don't believe that it will all just come to the surface, some will be pushed into the surrounding rock and eventually into the aquifer

in a area that has been suffering from a drought for as long as I have owned my property 22 years now I think any potential contamination of the ground water would be catastrophic to this area

thank you

T7S R2E, SECTION 25 SW¹/₄ SE¹/₂

GEOLOGIC LOG: PIEZOMETER WELL MW-1

COORDINATES: 410784 N 1073609 E

SYSTEM: South Dakota State Grid

COLLAR ELEVATION: 4123 FT 5 1/8

TOTAL DEPTH: 476 Ft; Diameter 5 4/8 inches

GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION: Fall River County, South Dakota

DATE STARTED: 22 September 1984

DATE COMPLETED: Abandoned 29 September 1984

DRILLER: Great Western Pump & Supply, Rapid City, S.D.

EQUIPMENT: Rotary, compressed air & water injection

LITHOLOGIC LOG

<u>DEPTH TO TOP, FT</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMATION</u>
0	Brown, fine grained sandy soil	alluvium
2	Sandstone, tan, F-Mg	Fall River
5	Sandstone, tan, F-Mg with grey claystone interbeds	" "
17	Shale, dark grey	" "
21	Sandstone, tan, V.F.G.	" "
30	" " . M.G.	" "
34	Shale & siltstone, Lt. Grey, tan	" "
40	Shale & siltstone, grey & maroon	" "
50	Sandstone, tan, orange; w/lt grey siltstone interbeds	" "
60	Sandstone w/ occasional siltstone interbeds	" "
94	Claystone & siltstone, dark grey	" "

<u>DEPTH TO TOP, FT</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>FORMATION</u>
95	Sandstone, orange, V.F.G.	Fall River
100	Sandstone, Lt. orange & maroon, V.F.G; with thin beds of grey siltstone	" "
112	Mudstone, siltstone, very dark grey	" "
125	Sandstone w/siltstone interbeds; lt maroon to grey V.F.G.	" "
135	Sandstone, V.F.G., Lt grey to brick red	" "
140	Sandstone, V.F.G., lt yellow to white	" "
146	Mudstone/shale with occasional sandstone interbeds, dark grey to green grey, trace maroon	" "
195	Maroon sandstone F.G., silty; with minor siltstone interbeds	" "
205	Fine grained, silty sandstone with minor siltstone interbeds; white to light tan	" "
238	Shale with minor siltstone interbeds, maroon; blue green 247-248	" "
248	Sandstone, lt. grey, green, maroon w/minor siltstone interbeds, wet @ 285 ft	" "
278	Shale, green & maroon	Fuson
300(?)	Sandstone, grey	Lakota
345	Mudstone, siltstone	"
355	Sandstone	"
462	Shale	Morrison
476	E.O.H.	

T7S R2E 25 SW¼ SE¼

ABANDONMENT SCHEDULE

DEPTH, FT.	DESCRIPTION
0-0.5	soil
0.5 - 6	concrete
6-377	drill cuttings
377-452	bentonite pellets
452-464	washed sand, -8 mesh
464-476	bentonite pellets

FALL RIVER

W.T. COHAN P.E., INC.
MINING & GEOLOGICAL CONSULTANTS
P. O. BOX 2392 (303) 243-7583
GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO 81502
October 15, 1984



State of South Dakota
Department of Water & Natural Resources
Water Rights Division
Pierre, S.D. 57501

Attn: Mr. Gene Selkan:

Dear Mr. Selkan:

Attached please find the geologic log and abandonment schedule for piezometer well No. MW-1 located in SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 25, T7S, R2E, Fall River County. The well was intended to be a piezometer well fitted with 2 inch schedule 40 steel pipe casing. The hole was completed in the top of the Morrison at a depth of 476 feet but was subsequently abandoned when the casing could not be landed at the designed depth of 468 feet.

The project was attempted in September, 1984. The owner is Altex Minerals Corp., P. O. Box 5508 T.A., Denver, CO 80217 (303) 534-0374. However, please contact our office if you have any questions.

Yours truly,

W. T. Cohan
W. T. Cohan P.E.

WTC/ac

cc: Mr. W. M. Shepard
Altex Minerals Corp.

RE: Craven Canyon-Chord Uranium Project

From Lloyd, Dustin <Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us>

Date Thu 2/20/2025 10:19 AM

To Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>

 1 attachment (17 KB)

Tribal Contact List.docx;

The Ponca and the Crow did receive a copy of my original letter back in April of 2024. 29 tribes including OST received the letter originally. I also sent emails to people that had active email addresses listed on NATHPO or on their THPO websites. I have not heard anything directly from either of them. I'm not sure if they reached out to SHPO or DANR in any way to express concern or if they plan to participate in the public hearing portion of the project. My plan was to send out a new letter to everyone that received the original letter once the date for the public hearing was set so everyone knew about it. I've also attached a list of all the tribes that received an initial copy of my letter. If there are any other tribes that you think should have received a copy of the letter but did not, then feel free to forward it to them.



Dustin Lloyd

Burial Coordinator

Archaeological Research Center

SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us

605-391-2928 | 937 E. North Street, Suite 201, Rapid City |

history.sd.gov

From: Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:20 AM

To: Lloyd, Dustin <Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us>

Subject: RE: [EXT] Craven Canyon-Chord Uranium Project

Thank you, I will get back to you soon. I had another question though, has the Ponca tribe or their THPO ever respond to anything in Craven because I see site forms list a lot of the rock art down there as Ponca...also Crow might have ties to various rock art locations and also the really old stuff such as the pecked art, hard to pinpoint to one tribe or any but I know they all probably knew about this area. Would really be nice to do more research, on our end, about oral history and what is known about what the elders and our relatives said about that location known as Craven Canyon.. I have not seen a Lakota name for that area but am trying to find out.

From: Lloyd, Dustin <Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us>

Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:03 AM

To: Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>

Subject: RE: Craven Canyon-Chord Uranium Project

Not a problem. If you need anything else from us, just let me know and we can get it to you. I'm open at nearly any point next week if you all wanted to schedule a time to sit down and talk about it after you've

had the chance to follow up with Jozef and the folks over at BHNF. I'm assuming things are fairly chaotic over there at BHNF and most other federal agencies right now with the previous/possibly current funding freeze and flurry of executive orders that have come out in the last few weeks. The only upcoming dates that I'm attempting to keep free are March 10 and March 17. I hopeful our repatriation to Three Affiliated will happen on one of those days so we'll need one of those days and following day to drive up to the New Town area to transfer the funerary object to them.



Dustin Lloyd

Burial Coordinator
Archaeological Research Center
SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY
Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us
605-391-2928 | 937 E. North Street, Suite 201, Rapid City |
history.sd.gov

From: Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2025 8:43 AM
To: Lloyd, Dustin <Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us>
Subject: RE: [EXT] Craven Canyon-Chord Uranium Project

Thank you for the reply, I read this after I got off of work on Friday and then of course the holiday Monday and then we had weather related admin leave the last 2 days so finally back in the office this Thursday. Thank you very much for your response, we should definitely plan doing a call with my coworker Mike and then Trina and not sure if she has hired a THPO yet or still is acting in that duty, will find out. I will also send a email to Jozef, if it is not too late. Also about the Mineral Withdrawal, I contacted the current NEPA worker and the Tribal Liaison about reinitiating this or using the MOU the tribes have with the BHNF to bring the mineral withdrawal up again. Thank you.

From: Lloyd, Dustin <Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us>
Sent: Friday, February 14, 2025 3:24 PM
To: Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>
Subject: RE: Craven Canyon-Chord Uranium Project

You don't often get email from dustin.lloyd@state.sd.us. [Learn why this is important](#)
I'll try to answer your questions as best I can as I see them in the email, but I also more than happy to talk over the phone about it with you, Mike, or Trina. I won't be in the office too much longer today and the office is close on Monday, but I am free on Tuesday at most any point that is convenient for you all. I assume Trina and THPO would want to be part of the conversation as well. Overall, though Katie Lamie and I also share you concerns about the potential for negative impacts on the site. Beyond the natural beauty of the area, it is obviously an area of great cultural and religious importance and significance. I'm most concerned about the cumulative effects that the project may have that are not easily identifiable or quantifiable (encouraging future exploration around the area, negative impacts to the larger Paha Sapa cultural and religious landscape that may be ignored when the project is viewed in an isolated manner, geologic impacts from vibrations, etc.) from a simple survey and report.

Regarding the new sites you mentioned, these sites were recorded during the Vantage Point survey in mid-July 2024. They are detailed further in the attached report. Their survey resulted in the three survey reports attached to this email (if there are not three, there were too large to attach all to single email and I will email them to you separately). The first is an Abbreviate Case Report that SHPO requested from Clean Nuclear Energy. The second is the report produced by VPS for the portion of the project that occurs on state land and was authorized through our office under a state permit. The third considers the project as a whole. Once they went on to USFS land the connected actions clause of Section 106 came into play and they were required to look at the entire project again and not just the portion that occurred on USFS managed property. Standing Rock Sioux Tribal Archaeologist Tyrel Iron Eyes and staff from the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe THPO were with VPS staff during the survey and when they revisited the

rock art sites within Craven Canyon. Katie and I are in the process of getting more information from VPS about their survey on state land as they collected artifacts during the survey and did not properly curate them here nor did they submit their report for comment and review before submitting it to SHPO as per the agreement in their state permit. Overall, Katie and I had some concerns with the work as well. Particularly, it didn't seem like they did enough background research into the previous work and recordation done at these sites and that their inclusion of tribal descriptions and concerns for these areas was minimal for most of the sites. I'm unsure if that is due to a lack of effort from VPS, an inability or hesitancy to share culturally sensitive information with VPS from SRST/CRST staff, or a combination of the two. I completely understand if there was some hesitancy on their part as much of what they likely noticed or felt would be considered culturally sensitive and possibly not appropriate for a public setting such as an archaeological survey report.

Regarding [REDACTED] we also shared a similar concern with VPS before they surveyed in our letter to them when we granted them a state permit. Cassie Vogt informed them that the presence of the shell disc indicated a possible burial in or around the area. We were unaware of the possible connection a women's seclusion area, but I do really appreciate you bringing that to our attention. SRST and CRST staff weren't able to locate any shell burial belongings or surface evidence of a burial, but that doesn't preclude the area from being a collapsed tree or platform burial as you stated. In the report and in SHPO's forthcoming reply to the abbreviated case report, total avoidance of the area is required. Since this is an EXNI exploration project, the state laws are a bit stronger when it comes to the protection of archaeological or culturally sensitive sites, so SHPO is within their abilities to require complete avoidance of the area. Additionally, SHPO will be requiring pre and post construction site visits to the rock art and cultural sites to assess their condition before and after the project. We hope that OST and other interested tribal parties and partners will take part in those inspections as your insight is integral to understanding and preserving these areas.

Jozef Lamfers (Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us or 605-773-6004) at SHPO is the one writing their reply letter to the abbreviated case report. I would encourage you to reach out directly to him as soon as possible with your concerns so he can incorporate them into the letter. Both of us have been trying to get some type of official report or letter from SRST and CRST about their experiences during the survey and conclusions following the survey, but we don't have that right now. I talked with Steve Vance for quite a while on the phone about the survey and the area. His concerns were similar to yours, but he and the TCSs that were out there also had intangible, personal experience while in the area, which are difficult to quantify into a report or phrase in a way that conveys their importance to a non-Native American audience. If you, Mike, or Trina would be interested in writing something for Jozef to include with his letter, I'm sure he would happily do that, and you could coordinate that with him directly. He is hoping to get the SHPO letter sent to the applicant by the end of next week so I would encourage you to reach to him as soon as possible so he can consider any information or concerns you have for him. I would also forward the Mineral Withdrawal report to him as well. This is the first I've seen that report so I imagine it may have been something he missed as well.

As far as the future of the project, DANR is considering the application currently incomplete until SHPO issues their response to the abbreviated case report. Additionally, the project is being treated as two separate segments of the same project. The portion on state land is one permit and the portion on USFS property is another. DANR is hoping to plan the site inspection for the portion on state land in early spring so that the public hearing for the project can be conducted in early spring as well. DANR has been very opening to allowing other concerned governmental entities to join us for these inspections so I don't believe it would be an issue for you, Mike, or Trina/THPO to accompany us during the DANR inspection. They have not yet set a date for this inspection. I would contact Roberta Hudson (Roberta.Hudson@state.sd.us or 605-773-2909 or 605-431-8007) at DANR to be looped into the inspection dates discussion when it happens. I believe they are targeting a late April or early May date for the public hearing portion. The public hearing is a quasi-legal setting where the tribe and other concerned parties can bring legal representation or represent themselves, call witness, enter expert opinion testimony, etc. I would recommend contacting Roberta if you have any specific questions about the public hearing portion as they are planning and organizing all of that. She is great about getting back to folks and has been very involved with the entire project and our concerns about the important religious and cultural sites in the area.

Thanks for reaching out about this project and hopefully the above information is helpful to sorting out some of your questions and beginning to address some of your concerns. If you have additional questions or concerns that you think I can help with, let me know and I'll do my best to address them. As

I said, I would be very open to discussing the project in a more open format over phone next week as well if you think that would be helpful.



Dustin Lloyd

Burial Coordinator
Archaeological Research Center
SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us

605-391-2928 | 937 E. North Street, Suite 201, Rapid City |
history.sd.gov

From: Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>
Sent: Friday, February 14, 2025 12:21 PM
To: Lloyd, Dustin <Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] Craven Canyon-Chord Uranium Project

Hello Dustin,

Just wanted to know if there is any updates to pass along on this proposed project? We have noticed that that parcel of land now has 3 newly recorded sites, [REDACTED] by Vantage Point. We have not heard positive things from that company and mainly a "sketchy" reputation. Is there access to their report for this project? It is concerning that the recommendation for these new sites were all Isolated Finds but especially at [REDACTED] there were two diagnostic points documented and are we really to believe that there were no subsurface material found? Also very concerned about the unevaluated site [REDACTED] and the high density of artifacts at the location, especially the shell beads as that can mean a woman's seclusion place or a possible burial. [REDACTED] the site that contained 50-some artifacts in a small area, including two shell disc beads. This is out in the open near the canyon rim and I'm guessing would provide a view of the canyon below. The site form indicates gravelly soil and no great potential for subsurface deposits, but the site was not tested. I just am having trouble getting a pile of artifacts, including shell beads, out in the open like that unless it's from a collapsed platform or tree burial. Shell and similar rabbit bone beads will show up in rockshelters now and then, but the situation at [REDACTED] seems odd. There was also a diagnostic projectile point in the mix. These are just the concerns in the parcel.

Outside of this parcel, as you know all the sites and Craven Canyon rock art is only ¾ miles north of this planned project. There is tremendous concerns about this project and the exploratory drilling and its effects on the integrity of these sites around. Not to mention the drilling and proximity to affecting the rock art and anyone praying at this location. We would like to do whatever we can, along with other tribes, to stop this project. This spring we are planning to meet there with Linea Sundstrom and visit the site and location. We would like to also do whatever we can to get the USFS to reapply their mineral withdrawal for this area that was squashed by the regional office.

"Here's a copy of the EA that would have went out to the public for comment. There was never a Decision Notice or FONSI written.

In May 2015 I drafted a letter for the Forest Supervisor to the Regional Forester for the corrected information and clarifications that the BLM requested. This also included an Errata for the EA. In October 2015 the Regional Forester sent a letter to the BLM stating, "The Black Hills National Forest has reviewed the issues associated with the mineral withdrawal application and determined that further strategizing on a management plan to address a number of issues should be developed. At this time the Forest Service would formally like to withdraw this application and close the file associated with this project. At such time in the future should it be determined a withdrawal is appropriate, a new application will be submitted."

Thank you for any feedback or direction.



Russel H Zephier Jr
Archaeological/Environmental Technician
Environmental Compliance Department
Oglala Lakota Housing Authority
Cell: 605-407-3206

RE: Craven Canyon

From Lamfers, Jozef <Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us>

Date Tue 2/25/2025 2:47 PM

To Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>

Good afternoon, Russel,

I am working on getting a full response to you soon. I just wanted to give you a heads-up since you've been waiting for a response all day.

Hopefully, I'll have that to you soon.

Best,



Jozef Lamfers

Review & Compliance Archaeologist

SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us

605-773-6004 | 900 Governors Drive, Pierre | history.sd.gov

From: Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2025 5:17 PM

To: Lamfers, Jozef <Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us>

Subject: RE: [EXT] Craven Canyon

Good afternoon Jozef,

Just wanted to let you know that the Oglala Sioux Tribe would like to go forward with nominating Craven Canyon as a TCP. I understand that has to be initiated by the stakeholders first, and not the federal agency, so we are working on that now and would like to nominate this area as a TCP as well as nominating that area as a whole District that is listed on the NRHP.

From: Lamfers, Jozef <Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us>

Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:12 PM

To: Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>

Subject: RE: Craven Canyon

Good afternoon, Russel,

Thank you for reaching out with your concerns. I have taken them into consideration but, regrettably, under the law I am reviewing this under, we are unable to take this information into account for our review. This proposed drilling project is being reviewed by our office under our state review law 1-19A-

11.1 which allows us to comment on projects which may encroach upon, damage, or destroy a historic property **listed** on the State or National Register of Historic Places.

After this project was received in March of last year, our office requested additional efforts to assess potential effects to the listed sites in and around Craven Canyon which may be impacted by the proposed drilling. Their case report included efforts to assess the visual, auditory, vibratory, dust, and potential spill effects that may occur. The information received indicated that the visual, auditory, and dust effects would be minimal and temporary. The report indicated that the geology of the area should not be conducive to long-range vibratory impacts from the drilling rigs. Additionally, based on their Plan of Operations manual, they must account for a 110% spill when creating their spill mitigation measures.

For our review, the potential effects to the **listed** rock art sites in the Craven Canyon were extensively assessed. Based on the information we have regarding these sites, the proposed drilling work did not meet the threshold of encroaching upon, damaging, or destroying these sites. The other sites in the area were either not eligible, unevaluated, or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Under the state review law, these sites do not meet the definition of "Historic Property" in the way that they do under federal Historic Preservation law.

In our letter, we have stipulated a great deal of measures to ensure care is taken to avoid impacts and to assess the condition of the rock art sites pre- and post- construction. Another stipulation we have added is that the drill pad near site [REDACTED] should be moved to another area or removed from the project entirely. We have also noted that there is a great deal of concern about not only these sites, but on the landscape as a whole. While our review focuses on effects to historic properties, we understand that there are concerns which fall outside of our review process. These concerns should be raised at the public meetings and hearings which are required as part of the mining permit process. We encourage those who have these concerns to attend those meetings and make those concerns abundantly clear. If any new information regarding effects to historic properties is revealed during these meetings, we have also stipulated that we would like the chance to comment on those effects.

Thank you again for reaching out. I intend to follow the public comment proceedings to ensure the meaningful and good faith consultation efforts of the applicant.

Best,



Jozef Lamfers

Review & Compliance Archaeologist

SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us

605-773-6004 | 900 Governors Drive, Pierre | history.sd.gov

From: Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:58 AM
To: Lamfers, Jozef <Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] Craven Canyon

Hello Jozef,

Not sure if you have sent the SHPO reply letter back about the uranium project but we would like you to consider this, as I believe it may be something you haven't seen before. Past archaeologist in the Black Hills such as Matthew Padilla, Michael Englehart, and Justin Hammer worked hard on the Mineral Withdrawal but the regional forester squashed it and said "At such a time in the future should it be determined a withdrawal is appropriate, a new application will be submitted." Well I believe that the time

now in the future is now. There is also a number of concerns we and other tribes have about this project...way way too close to the rock art in Craven Canyon and newly recorded sites and art are always being found. Just wanted to reach out first and let you know the concerns but we could definitely develop a more professional letter or email listing our concerns. Thank you.



Russel H Zephier Jr
Archaeological/Environmental Technician
Environmental Compliance Department
Oglala Lakota Housing Authority
Cell: 605-407-3206

RE: Craven

From Lamfers, Jozef <Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us>

Date Wed 2/26/2025 2:35 PM

To Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>

Cc Lloyd, Dustin <Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us>

Good afternoon, Russel,

Thank you for reaching out! I spent some time today conversing with our staff here on the idea of adding TCP significance to the Craven Canyon sites. What I have gathered is that while it is possible to make this happen, it will be quite the daunting task. There is a recently revised NPS bulletin regarding TCP evaluation that lays out their expectations and guidance, that can be downloaded from:

<https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/publications.htm>

For the National Register program, TCPs are critical to the contemporary identity of living cultural groups through their use or existence, and they look for additional kinds of research sources – recent oral histories, ethnography, etc. – to support that case for significance. Adding TCP significance to the existing nomination might require substantial additional research, but there is sufficient material like that, the nomination could be amended to add that significance to the sites as listed on the nomination form. The boundary of the nomination could be part of an amendment to the nomination as well, either to include the surrounding landscape as part of the significance or to add sites in the area that share similar significance. There might need to be large-scale survey and research on any additional sites identified for inclusion in order to have information to support their meeting the National Register criteria. If anything included had different kinds of significance – different type/materials or from a different era – there would need to be added contextual information for those.

Based on our records, the listed Craven Canyon sites are on land managed by the Forest Service. Other nearby land includes some managed by the State and some private further afield. To make these changes, it will require written concurrence from the Federal Preservation Officer (FPO). The regulations the NPS has for submitting federal or joint federal/state nominations are online in part 9 and 10 under <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-36/chapter-I/part-60?toc=1>.

Since the letters have been sent, we cannot make additional comments on these drilling projects until new information is given to us from the party responsible for submitting these projects for consultation. On the federal side, this would be the Forest Service and on the State side, the applicant submits the project for review but the Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources is the responsible authority for mining. Should new information be presented during any public hearings, this would need to be considered.

If this is something you would like to pursue, I believe the best place to start would be to contact the Forest Service to find a starting point or to see how possible this goal would be. A letter from the THPO (or group of interested THPOs) would show them there is an interest in pursuing this. Additionally, comments gathered from public hearings/meetings would also give them an opportunity to gauge a broader case for this path.

Thank you again for reaching out with your concern! If you have any more questions, please let me know.

Best,



Jozef Lamfers

Review & Compliance Archaeologist

SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us

605-773-6004 | 900 Governors Drive, Pierre | history.sd.gov

From: Russel Zephier, Jr. <russel.z@oslh.org>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2025 5:25 PM

To: Lamfers, Jozef <Jozef.Lamfers@state.sd.us>; Lloyd, Dustin <Dustin.Lloyd@state.sd.us>

Subject: [EXT] Craven

Wondering about the time frame we may have to add that the Oglala Sioux Tribe would like to nominate Craven Canyon and this area as a TCP. We want a nomination of this area as a TCP and believe that adding this as a TCP can be a mitigation stipulation to reach a No Adverse Effect.



Russel H Zephier Jr

Archaeological/Environmental Technician

Environmental Compliance Department

Oglala Lakota Housing Authority

Cell: 605-407-3206

South Dakota

Yankton Sioux Tribe

Colten Archambeau
PO Box 1153
Wagner, SD 57380

Standing Rock Sioux Tribe

Jon Eagle
P.O. Box D
Fort Yates, ND 58538

Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate

Diane Desrosiers
12554 BIA Hwy 711
P.O. Box 709
Agency Village, SD 57262

Rosebud Sioux Tribe

Ione Quigley
P.O. Box 750
Rosebud, SD 57570

Oglala Sioux Tribe

Justin Poirier
107 W. Main Street
PO Box 2070
Pine Ridge, SD 57770

Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe

Garrie Kills a Hundred
P.O. Box 283
Flandreau, SD

Lower Brule Sioux Tribe (sent via email)

Clyde Estes (ClydeEstes@LowerBrule.net)
187 Oyate Circle
Lower Brule, SD 57548

Crow Creek Sioux Tribe

Merle Marks
P.O. Box 50
Fort Thompson, SD 57339

Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe

Steve Vance
98 S. Willow St
P.O. Box 590
Eagle Butte, SD 57625

Montana

Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation

Dyan Youpee
P.O. Box 1027
Poplar, MT 59255

Northern Cheyenne Tribe

Teanna Limpy
P.O. Box 128
Lame Deer, MT 59043

Fort Belknap Indian Community

Michael Black Wolf
656 Agency Main St
Harlem, MT 59526

Crow Tribe

Aaron Brien
P.O. Box 159
43 Heritage Lane
Crow Agency, MT 59022

Wyoming

Northern Arapaho Tribe

Ben Ridgley
P.O. Box 67
Stevens, WY 82524

Shoshone Tribe

Joshua Mann
P.O. Box 538
Fort Washakie, WY 82514

Minnesota

Lower Sioux Indian Community

Cheyenne St. John
39527 Reservation Hwy 1
Morton, MN 56270

Prairie Island Indian Community

Noah White
5636 Sturgeon Lake Road
Welch, MN 55089

Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community

Leonard Wabasha
2300 Tiwahe Circle
Shakopee, MN 55379

Upper Sioux Community

Samantha Odegard
P.O. Box 147
5722 Travers Lane

Granite Falls, MN 56241

North Dakota

Three Affiliated

Allen Demaray

307 5th Ave.

New Town, ND 58763

Spirit Lake Tribe

Kenneth Graywater, Jr.

P.O. Box 198

Fort Totten, ND 58335

Nebraska

Santee Sioux Nation

Thelma Thomas

425 Frazier Ave North Suite 2

Niobrara, NE 68760

Omaha Tribe

Dwight Howe

P.O. Box 368

Macy, NE 68039

Ponca Tribe

Theresa Foley

P.O. Box 288

Niobrara, NE 68760

Winnebago Tribe

Sunshine Thomas Bear

601 E. College Drive

Winnebago, NE 68071

Oklahoma

Apache Tribe

Chairman Durell Cooper

P.O. Box 1330

Anadarko, OK 73005

Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes

Attn: Max Bear

PO Box 38

Concha, OK 73022

Colorado

Ute Mountain Ute Tribe

Terry Knight, Sr.

P.O. Box 468

131 West Mike Wash Road

Towaco, CO 81334

Canada

Alexis Nakota Sioux Nation, Alberta

Box 7

Glenevis, Alberta T0E 0X0

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2024

MINERALS & MINING PROGRAM

November 12, 2024

Roberta Hudson
Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources
Minerals and Mining Program
Joe Foss Building
523 East Capitol Avenue
Pierre, SD 57501-3182

RE: Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. Uranium October Jinx Exploration Permit

Dear Mrs. Hudson,

Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. is submitting a Uranium Exploration Permit Application for the October Jinx Project located within the US Forest Service lands in Section 25, Township 7 South, Range 2 East, and Section 30, Township 7 South, Range 3 East of the Black Hills Meridian. The program consists of uranium exploration drilling from existing roads and overland travel.

Included in this application are the following:

- Certificate of Applicant
- Uranium Exploration Permit Application Form
- A plan of reclamation pursuant to Section 9
- A topographic map pursuant to Section 10
- A fee of \$500 pursuant to Section 18 in check form
- Written consultation with landowner (Black Hills National Forest)
- Written consultation with the NRCS
- Mineral Lease and MOU Between Clean Nuclear Energy and Cowboy Exploration
- USFS Plan of Operations
- Field survey reports for vegetation, wildlife, and cultural resources

As can be seen by the maps attached to the application, the proposed project is not within the boundaries of Craven Canyon. The project will not encroach upon, damage or destroy any historic property within Craven Canyon. The consulting archeologist working on this project has coordinated with the USFS Hell Canyon Ranger District archaeologist, conducted a Level 1 records search, received a field permit from the USFS, conducted a Level 3 cultural resource survey in August 2024, and prepared an abbreviated Case Report. Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. acknowledges that consultation with SHPO is required before the final permit can be issued. However, this consultation has no bearing on the completeness of its permit application.

Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. submitted a Plan of Operations for this project to the USFS in June 2024 and revised plan in October 2024. The USFS is completing their review and intends to evaluate this project as a Categorical Exclusion. As part of the NEPA process, the USFS will be reviewing and providing guidelines on the reclamation plan as presented in this EXNI application and the Plan of Operations.

Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. desires to work hand in hand with DANR, USFS, and all other state agencies, on this project. If there are any questions or concerns, please contact me as Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. is committed to exceptional environmental stewardship by meeting or exceeding all statutes and regulations.

Regards,

Mike Blady
Clean Nuclear Energy Corp.
503-905 Pender St. W
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
+1 604-720-3474
mikeblady@gmail.com

Attachments

INSTRUCTIONS:

Please reference SDCL 45-6D. This permit must be accompanied by:

1. A plan of reclamation pursuant to Section 9.
2. A topographic map pursuant to Section 10.
3. A fee of \$500 payable to the Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources pursuant to Section 18.
4. A surety in an amount to be determined by the department pursuant to Section 19.
5. Any written landowner consultations giving alternative preferences for the reclamation of the affected land pursuant to Section 16.

Before a hearing on this uranium permit can be conducted by the SD Board of Minerals and Environment, the operator must submit the following:

1. A copy of the affidavit of publication of notice pursuant to Section 12.
2. Proof of filing a copy of the permit with the Register of Deeds pursuant to Section 11.

Applicant affirms that the surface owner has been notified of the proposed uranium exploration and that said surface owner is aware of his rights to compensation for damages to property pursuant to SDCL 34A. Applicant hereby affirms that the uranium exploration will be conducted pursuant and subject to the provisions of SDCL 45-6D, and all regulations promulgated thereunder, that he will grant access to the SD Board of Minerals and Environment or its agents to the area under notice from the date of the notice and thereafter to assure compliance with the provisions of SDCL 45-6D.

I declare and affirm under the penalties of perjury that this claim (petition, application, information) has been examined by me, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, is in all things true and correct.

M. Blady
Signature

Date: Nov. 5 / 2024

Title: Director

Province BC
STATE OF

City Kelowna
COUNTY OF

On this 5th day of November, 20 24, before me personally appeared

Mike Blady, who acknowledged himself to be the Director
(Title)

for Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. and that he is authorized to execute the Uranium Exploration
(Operator)

Permit for the purposes contained therein.

[Signature]
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: Does not expire

SEAL

RYLE J. RAMSEY
LAWYER
- PUSHO MICHAEL LLP
301 - 1085 - 5th Street
Kelowna BC V1Y 1R3
Phone: 250-869-1259

FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

DATE APPROVED: _____ BOND AMOUNT: _____ PERMIT NUMBER: _____
Chairman, SD Board of Minerals & Environment

Renewals: 1st Date: _____ 2nd Date: _____ 3rd Date: _____



**DEPARTMENT of AGRICULTURE
and NATURAL RESOURCES**

JOE FOSS BUILDING
523 E CAPITOL AVE
PIERRE SD 57501-3182
danr.sd.gov

November 26, 2024

Mike Blady
Clean Nuclear Energy Corp.
503-905 Pender St. W
Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 1L6

Dear Mr. Blady,

We are in receipt of your Uranium Exploration Permit Application. During review of this application, we have determined the application is currently incomplete. Please address the following items:

1. SDCL 45-6D-7(5): Please clarify the number the drill holes being proposed in this application.
2. SDCL 45-6D-9(2): The seed mix consultation with the local conservation district was provided on the previous application for Section 36, T7S-R2E. This consultation needs to be redone for the new application area. In addition, the United States Forest Service (USFS) commonly has a recommended seed mix for operations on their land. If USFS does not approve of the seed mix provided in the application, the USFS recommended seed mix will have to be approved by the local conservation district.
3. SDCL 45-6D-14: The department reviewed the location of the proposed operation and consulted with both the State Archaeologist as well as the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The proposed operation is located within one-quarter mile of an area designated to the National Register of Historic Places and/or the State Register of Historic Places. Due to the proximity of the proposed exploration activity to these sites, the application must be reviewed by SHPO under SDCL 1-19A-11.1. A permit hearing before the Board of Minerals and Environment will not be scheduled until SHPO's review is completed; the SHPO review is required to be submitted as part of the application. Therefore, please contact Jozef Lamfers with SHPO at (605) 773-3458 to determine what actions Clean Nuclear needs to take to complete the SHPO review. Please submit final review documentation from SHPO for the application.
4. SDCL 45-6D-16: Since the proposed exploration area is located on USFS land, an approved or nearly complete Plan of Operations must be provided to the department. During the review process of the Plan of Operations, USFS may provide or change the currently proposed access and/or reclamation plans for the area. In addition, bonding calculations must be conducted in coordination between DANR and USFS, which can only occur when the Plan of Operations is nearly complete. The Plan of Operations must either be approved by USFS or be submitted with

confirmation from USFS stating the review process for the Plan of Operations is nearly complete and only the submittal of a bond remains prior to approval. As per the Memorandum of Understanding between the DANR and USFS, DANR will hold the entirety of the bond for this uranium exploration permit.

Please provide the information identified above. Once this information is received, the department will determine the application is complete and filed and will draft a public notice as required under SDCL 45-6D-12 and provide it to you for publication in a local newspaper for the area of the proposed operation. This will start the process for a hearing before the Board of Minerals and Environment.

If you have any questions on the requested information above, please contact me at (605) 773-4201.

Sincerely,

\s/

Roberta Hudson
Engineering Manager I
Minerals, Mining, and Superfund Program
523 E. Capital Ave
Pierre, SD 57501
Email: Roberta.hudson@state.sd.us

MINE CONTACT REPORT FORM

Date of Call: _____ Date of E-mail: 3/22 and 26/24
 Telephone call to: _____ DENR Employee Contacted: Eric Holm
 Operator Contacted: Mike Blady
 Company: Clean Nuclear Energy
 Telephone: _____
 Staff Signature: _____ \s/

From: Mike Blady <mikeblady@gmail.com>
 Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2024 11:48 AM
 To: Holm, Eric <Eric.Holm@state.sd.us>
 Cc: Crystal Hocking (crystal.hocking@respec.com) <crystal.hocking@respec.com>; Hudson, Roberta <Roberta.Hudson@state.sd.us>
 Subject: Re: [EXT] Clean Nuclear Energy Exploration Application

Hello Eric,

Please see attached authorization letter. I have authority to sign all permitting and bonding documents on behalf of Clean Nuclear Energy which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Basin Uranium.

Regards,
Mike Blady
604-720-3474

On Fri, Mar 22, 2024 at 7:47 AM Holm, Eric <Eric.Holm@state.sd.us> wrote:

Regarding the reclamation bond for the uranium exploration permit, we need a letter from Clean Nuclear Energy (preferably on Clean Nuclear Energy letterhead), stating who in the corporation has authority to sign financial documents such as bonding instruments. Mr. Blady, we assume that you have the authority to sign all permitting documents on behalf of Clean Nuclear Energy, but if anyone else in the corporation has that authority, that can be addressed in the letter too. The letter can be submitted with the reclamation bond paperwork. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.



Eric Holm
 Engineer III
 Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources
 Minerals, Mining, and Superfund Program
 523 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre SD, 57501

605.773.4201

janr.sd.gov



Basin Uranium

503 – 905 West Pender St.
Vancouver, BC V6C 1L6

RECEIVED
MAR 26 2024
MINERALS & MINING PROGRAM

Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. (wholly owned subsidiary of Basin Uranium Corp.)

March 22, 2024

Eric Holm

Engineer III

Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources Minerals, Mining, and Superfund Program

523 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre SD, 57501

Dear Eric Holm,

Subject: Authority for Signing Financial Documents - Uranium Exploration Permit

This letter is to confirm the internal authorizations concerning the signing of financial documents, including bonding instruments, related to the uranium exploration permit held by Clean Nuclear Energy Corp., a subsidiary of Basin Uranium Corp.

We hereby affirm that Mr. Mike Blady is the sole individual authorized to sign on behalf of Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. for all matters pertaining to the aforementioned permit, including but not limited to, the reclamation bond required for the uranium exploration activities. No other individual within Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. holds this authority.

Please be assured of our commitment to fulfilling all regulatory requirements and our eagerness to proceed with the exploration activities within the stipulated guidelines and frameworks. The necessary documentation accompanying this letter and the reclamation bond paperwork are provided as per the requirements outlined in our permit application and your subsequent communications.

Should there be any further information or clarification needed from our end, please do not hesitate to contact us. Mr. Blady remains your point of contact for any correspondence or inquiries relating to this matter.



Basin Uranium

503 – 905 West Pender St.
Vancouver, BC V6C 1L6

RECEIVED

MAR 26 2024

MINERALS & MINING PROGRAM



Basin Uranium

503 – 905 West Pender St.
Vancouver, BC V6C 1L6

We appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to a continued positive working relationship.

Sincerely,

Mike Blady

DocuSigned by:

MC150022FE04F7
Mike Blady

CEO

Clean Nuclear Energy Corp.

mikeblady@gmail.com



Basin Uranium

503 – 905 West Pender St.
Vancouver, BC V6C 1L6



**DEPARTMENT of AGRICULTURE
and NATURAL RESOURCES**

JOE FOSS BUILDING
523 E CAPITOL AVE
PIERRE SD 57501-3182
danr.sd.gov

March 18, 2024

Mike Blady
Clean Nuclear Energy Corp.
503-905 Pender St. W
Vancouver, British Columbia

Dear Mr. Blady,

We are in receipt of your Uranium Exploration Permit Application. During review of this application, we have determined the application is currently incomplete. Please address the following items:

1. SDCL 45-6D-7(2): The provided address does not include a zip code. Please provide us with this information.
2. SDCL 45-6D-16: The surface owner and surface lessee must have the opportunity to designate, in writing, preferences for the reclamation of the affected land. These preferences are to be filed with the permit application. Please address any concerns of the surface owner and lessee and have them submit any preferences for reclamation and any travel restrictions in writing and submit this information for the application. If after consultation, written materials are not received by the landowner or lessee, please submit an affidavit by the applicant indicating consultation was conducted and no written materials were received.
3. SDCL 45-6D-14: The department reviewed the location of the proposed operation and consulted with both the State Archaeologist as well as the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The proposed operation is located within one-quarter mile of the edge of Craven Canyon. There are areas within Craven Canyon that have been designated to the National Register of Historic Places and/or the State Register of Historic Places. Due to the proximity of the proposed exploration activity to these sites, the application must be reviewed by SHPO under SDCL 1-19A-11.1. As per this statute, the Board of Minerals and Environment may not approve this project until a review by SHPO is completed. As a result, the SHPO review is required to be submitted as part of the application. Therefore, please contact Jenna Carlson-Dietmeier with SHPO at (605) 773-8370 to determine what will be needed to complete the review necessary as per this statute. Please submit final review documentation from SHPO for the application.

Please provide the information identified above. Once this information is received, the department will determine the application is complete and filed and will draft a public notice as required under SDCL 45-

6D-12 and provide it to you for publication in a local newspaper for the area of the proposed operation. This will start the process for a hearing before the Board of Minerals and Environment.

If you have any questions on the requested information above, please contact me at (605) 773-4201.

Sincerely,

\S/

Roberta Hudson
Engineering Manager I
Minerals, Mining, and Superfund Program
523 E. Capital Ave
Pierre, SD 57501
Email: Roberta.hudson@state.sd.us



506 Sixth Street
Post Office Box 8045
Rapid City, South Dakota 57709
Main: (605) 342-1078
Fax: (605) 342-9503

www.gpna.com

April 26, 2024

Sent via email to Roberta.hudson@state.sd.us

Matthew E. Naasz
Email: mnaasz@gpna.com
Direct Dial: (605) 719-3424

Roberta Hudson
Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources
523 E. Capital Ave.
Pierre, SD 57501

Re: Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. - General Business / Uranium Mining
GPNA File No. 17359.0002

Dear Ms. Hudson:

Our firm represents Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. We have been provided your correspondence dated March 18, 2024. In that letter, you mention that the Uranium Exploration Permit Application is incomplete for three reasons. I will address each in turn.

First, you indicated that the permit address was incomplete because there is no zip code. Clean Nuclear Energy Corp.'s address is **503-905 Pender St. W, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1L6**. This address meets South Dakota's statutory requirement found in SDCL § 45-6D-7(2). The zip code is V6C 1L6.

Second, you mentioned that the landowners must be provided the opportunity to designate in writing their preference for reclamation efforts. SDCL § 45-6D-16 states that the surface owner and surface lessee must have had an opportunity to designate in writing, preferences for the reclamation of the affected land. Such opportunity has been provided. Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. has records demonstrating correspondence between all relevant parties which meet this statutory obligation. A copy of an affidavit to this effect is attached, as required by statute. The original will be forwarded to DANR upon receipt by the undersigned.

Finally, the letter refers to a consultation with the State Archaeologist and State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). It further implies that such consultations are a requirement for a complete application for a Uranium Exploration permit under SDCL § 45-6D-14. However, the statutory language requires only that the Board of Minerals and Environment notify these interested agencies at this stage of the permitting process. The completion of these consultations and SHPO review is not a barrier to commencement of the application technical review process. Rather, the statute requires notice and an opportunity for a comment prior to a final decision by DANR. A review of the statutory scheme makes clear that the review by the SHPO and the State Archeologist is to be conducted after the application has been filed – not as a condition of filing a complete application.

Offices in Rapid City and Pierre, South Dakota

Attorneys licensed to practice in South Dakota, North Dakota, Nebraska, Wyoming, and Montana

SDCL § 1-19A-11.1 furthers this understanding. The statute requires notice and an opportunity to investigate and comment on the project. *In re B.Y. Development, Inc.* made clear that the statute “does not give the Office of History the power to disapprove” a final decision. *In re B.Y. Development, Inc.*, 2000 S.D. 102, ¶ 11. Rather, it provides that no final action can be had until the Office has been provided the opportunity to investigate and comment on it. *Id.* Therefore, nothing in the statute precludes DANR from moving forward with the technical review of the project and scheduling the necessary hearing.

As can be seen by the maps attached to the application, the proposed project is not within the boundaries of Craven Canyon. The project will not encroach upon, damage or destroy any historic property within Craven Canyon. The consulting archeologist working on this project has preliminarily determined that the nearest listed cultural feature within Craven Canyon is located approximately 0.8 miles from the edge of the exploration project.

Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. has consulted with SHPO on this project. The SHPO has requested an abbreviated Case Report pursuant to ARSD 24:52:07:03. Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. intends to prepare that report and conduct levels 1 and 3 cultural resource surveys of the Project disturbance footprint. The relevant statute is clear, any restrictions concerning site disturbances provided in writing to the Board of Minerals and Environment shall be included as terms and conditions of an approved permit. SDCL § 45-6D-14. The written reports from the SHPO are not a requirement of a complete application.

Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. acknowledges that consultation with SHPO is required before the final permit can be issued. However, this consultation has no bearing on the completeness of its permit application. Thus, Clean Nuclear Energy Corp asks that its permit application be deemed complete and the application technical review process continue moving forward while the SHPO consultation is underway.

Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. desires to work hand in hand with DANR, and all other state agencies, on this project. We would respectfully request an opportunity to discuss the most expedient way to move this exploration application forward.

Sincerely,



Matthew E. Naasz

MEN:lf
Encl.

Prepared by:
Matthew E. Naasz
GUNDERSON, PALMER, NELSON & ASHMORE, LLP
P.O. Box 8045
Rapid City, SD 57709-8045
(605) 342-1078


Province
STATE OF British Columbia
COUNTY OF Kelowna

Affidavit of Mike Blady

1. My name is Mike Blady and I am the Director of Clean Nuclear Energy Corp.
2. As Director of Clean Nuclear Energy Corp., I am familiar with the business activities entered into on behalf of Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. and I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Affidavit.
3. Clean Nuclear Energy Corp has contacted both the surface owner (SD Office of School and Public Lands) and the surface lessee (Timothy Allen) at the property subject to Clean Nuclear Energy Corp.'s Uranium Exploration Application.
4. Attached as Exhibit A is an email from Commissioner Greenfield, indicating his office reviewed the reclamation plan that was attached to the permit application. His office did not have any additional comments or preferences for the reclamation plan.
5. Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. also contacted surface lessee. RESPEC (on behalf of Clean Nuclear Energy Corp.) had a phone conversation with Timothy Allen on March 6, 2024, and followed up that call with an email. Attached hereto as Exhibit B.
6. A supplemental email further requesting confirmation of receipt of the plan and preferences was sent on March 19, 2024. Timothy Allen confirmed receipt of the correspondence. Attached hereto as Exhibit C.
7. Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. conducted consultation with both the surface owner and surface lessee.

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Dated this 23rd day of April, 2024.




Mike Blady
Clean Nuclear Energy Corp.
503-905 Pender St. W
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1L6

Province
STATE OF British Columbia) ss.
COUNTY OF Kelowna.)

On this, the 23rd day of April, 2024, before me, personally appeared Mike Blady, the Director for Clean Nuclear Energy Corp., satisfactorily proven to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and he, being authorized so to do, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal.

(SEAL)



KEITH INMAN

Barister & Solicitor

#204 - 1665 Ellis Street

Kelowna, BC V1Y 2B3

Phone: (250) 762-2108

Notary Public

My commission expires: N/A





Exhibit "A"

KEITH INMAN

Barrister & Solicitor
#301 - 1665 Ellis Street
Kelowna, BC V1Y 2B3
Phone: (250) 762-2108

From: Greenfield, Brock
To: Crystal Hocking; timothy7171973@gmail.com
Cc: Mike Blady; Holt, Kyle; Nagel, Justin; Finck, Caleb
Subject: RE: Clean Nuclear Exploration Project - Sec 36/T7S/R2E - Reclamation Consultation
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 3:33:22 PM



Ms. Hocking,

We've reviewed the reclamation plan submitted to our office by Clean Nuclear Energy Corp. We noted several references to SDCL 45-6C, a chapter that pertains to mineral exploration. Since this project is specifically for uranium exploration, SDCL 45-6D would be the appropriate chapter to refer to.

While it doesn't specifically apply to the reclamation plan, if more insight could be provided on the relationship between Cowboy Exploration/RESPEC/Clean Nuclear Energy/Basin Uranium, we would certainly appreciate some clarification. Most notably, in our research we discovered that Clean Nuclear Energy is a wholly owned subsidiary of Basin Uranium. It appears that Basin is not registered with the SD Secretary of State, while Clean Nuclear filed its registration with the SOS in January of 2024. The paperwork transmitted to our office in February implies that when Cowboy (through Mr. John Glasscock) sought the subsurface lease, they were acting as an agent of Clean Nuclear. We are trying to gain a handle on the situation that is unlike anything my relatively new team has dealt with to-date.


Thank you.

Brock Greenfield
Commissioner, SD Office of School & Public Lands

Exhibit "B"

From: Crystal Hocking
To: timothy7171973@gmail.com
Cc: Mike Blady; Greenfield, Brock; Holt, Kyle; Nagel, Justin; Finck, Caleb
Subject: Clean Nuclear Exploration Project - Sec 36/T7S/R2E - Reclamation Consultation
Date: Wednesday, March 6, 2024 1:24:00 PM
Attachments: TimothyAllen_CoverLetterandReclamationPlan_030624.pdf

KEITH INMAN
Barrister & Solicitor
#301 - 1665 Ellis Street
Kelowna, BC V1Y-2B8
Phone: (250) 762-7108



Mr. Allen,

I appreciate your time earlier. As discussed, I'm providing you a copy of the reclamation plan associated with Clean Nuclear Energy's proposed uranium exploration drilling project on State School Lands in Section 36, T7S, R2E (attached).

Please confirm you have received and reviewed this information.

If you have any questions, feel free to email or call me. I've also cc'd the folks from the Office of School and Public Lands as well as the head of Clean Nuclear Energy.

Cheers,
Crystal

Crystal M. Hocking, PE, PG
Project Geologist


RESPEC
3824 Jet Drive
Rapid City, SD 57703
605.394.6451 office
605.431.7418 cell
respec.com

Exhibit "C"

KEITH INMAN
Barrister & Solicitor
#301 - 1665 Ellis Street
Kelowna, BC V1Y 2B3
Phone: (250) 762-2108



From: Tim Allen
To: Crystal Hocking
Subject: Re: Clean Nuclear Exploration Project - Sec 36/T75/R2E - Reclamation Consultation
Date: Tuesday, March 19, 2024 10:02:34 AM
Attachments: image001.png
image002.png
image003.png
image004.png
image005.png
image004.png



I received the email and am waiting for more clarification from the South Dakota Public Lands office. At this time, without further clarification from them I only feel comfortable acknowledging receipt of your correspondence.
Tim Allen

On Tue, Mar 19, 2024, 10:10 AM Crystal Hocking <crystal.hocking@respec.com> wrote:

Mr. Allen,

Can you please confirm that you have received the proposed exploration reclamation plan? Also, if you have any preferences for the reclamation of the affected land, please submit that information in writing.

I need your confirmation immediately as you did not respond to the March 6 email.



Crystal M. Hocking, PG PE
RESPEC

605.394.6451



From: Crystal Hocking <crystal.hocking@respec.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2024 1:25 PM

To: timothy7171973@gmail.com

Cc: Mike Blady <mikeblady@gmail.com>; Greenfield, Brock <Brock.Greenfield@state.sd.us>; Holt, Kyle <Kyle.Holt@state.sd.us>; Nagel, Justin <Justin.Nagel@state.sd.us>; Finck, Caleb <Caleb.Finck@state.sd.us>

Subject: Clean Nuclear Exploration Project - Sec 36/T7S/R2E - Reclamation Consultation

Mr. Allen,

I appreciate your time earlier. As discussed, I'm providing you a copy of the reclamation plan associated with Clean Nuclear Energy's proposed uranium exploration drilling project on State School Lands in Section 36, T7S, R2E (attached).

Please confirm you have received and reviewed this information.

If you have any questions, feel free to email or call me. I've also cc'd the folks from the Office of School and Public Lands as well as the head of Clean Nuclear Energy.

Cheers,

Crystal

Crystal M. Hocking, PE, PG
Project Geologist


RESPEC
3824 Jet Drive

Rapid City, SD 57703
605.394.6451 office
605.431.7416 call

respec.com

Confidentiality Notice: This E-mail and any attachments is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. & 2510-2524, is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please reply to the

sender that you have received the message in error, and permanently delete the original and destroy any copy, including printed copies of this email and any attachments thereto.




SOUTH DAKOTA WATER WELL COMPLETION REPORT

07-92

Location SE 1/4 NE 1/4 Sec 36 Twp T25 Rg R2E
 County Fall River

Well Owner: Bill Curran
 Business Name: 350 Prairie St
 Address: Prairie Du Sac, WI
53578

Please mark well location with an "X"



Well Completion Date

4-20-01

WELL LOG:

FORMATION	DEPTH	
	FROM	TO
Drift	0	40
Dakota SS	40	110
Lakota SS	110	445
Mission	445	460
Unkayapa H ₂ O-4" Spgs	460	480
Unkayapa	480	540

LOCATION:

Distance from nearest potential pollution source (septic tank, abandoned well, feed lot, etc.)? 100 ft. from Future Septic (identify source).

PROPOSED USE:

- Domestic/Stock
 Municipal
 Business
 Test Holes
 Irrigation
 Industrial
 Institutional
 Monitoring well

METHOD OF DRILLING:

Rotary Downhole Hammer

CASING DATA:

- Steel
 Plastic
 Other

If other describe 460' 5" casing

PIPEWEIGHT	DIAMETER	FROM	TO	HOLE DIAMETER
LB/FT	<u>5</u> IN	<u>0</u> FT	<u>460</u> FT	<u>6 3/4</u> IN
LB/FT	IN	FT	FT	IN
LB/FT	IN	FT	FT	IN

GROUTING DATA

Grout Type	No. of Sacks	Grout Weight	From	To
<u>Port H1</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>14-15</u> lb./gal	<u>0</u> ft	<u>460</u> ft
		lb./gal	ft	ft

Describe grouting procedure thru casing

SCREEN: Perforated pipe Manufactured

Diameter NWC IN Length open hole FEET

Material _____

Slot Size _____ Set From _____ Feet to _____ Feet

Other information _____

WAS A PACKER OR SEAL USED? YES NO

If so, what material? Casing Set Head SS.

Describe packer(s) and location? _____

DISINFECTION: Was well disinfected upon completion?

YES, How: Chlorine Solution

NO, Why Not? _____

Laboratory sent to for water quality analysis _____

STATIC WATER LEVEL 395 Feet

If flowing: closed in pressure _____ PSI

GPM flow _____ through _____ inch pipe

Controlled by Valve Reducers Other _____

Reduced Flowrate _____ GPM

Can well be completely shut in? _____

WELL TEST DATA:

Pumped whirl Describe: 4-5 gpm

Bailed _____

Other _____

Pumping Level Below Land Surface

_____ ft. After _____ Hrs. pumped _____ GPM

_____ ft. After _____ Hrs. pumped _____ GPM

If pump installed, pump rate _____ GPM

REMARKS Set pump at 500 ft.

RECEIVED
FEB - 4 2002

This well was drilled under license # 324 WATER RIGHTS PROGRAM

And this report is true and accurate.

Drilling firm Alexander Drilling Inc

Signature of License Representative: _____

Signature of Well Owner or Equitable Property Holder: _____

Bill Curran


Date: 4/27/01

SOUTH DAKOTA WATER WELL COMPLETION REPORT

07-92

Location SE 1/4 NE 1/4 Sec 36 Twp T25 Rg R2E
 County FALL RIVER North

Please mark well location with an "X"



Well Completion Date

4-20-01

LOCATION:

Distance from nearest potential pollution source (septic tank, abandoned well, feed lot, etc.)? 100 ft. from Future Septic (identify source).

PROPOSED USE:

- Domestic/Stock Municipal Business Test Holes
 Irrigation Industrial Institutional Monitoring well

METHOD OF DRILLING:

rotary mudbit

CASING DATA:

- Steel Plastic Other

If other describe 460 5" concrete pipe

PIPEWEIGHT	DIAMETER	FROM	TO	HOLE DIAMETER
LB/FT	<u>5</u> IN	<u>0</u> FT	<u>460</u> FT	<u>6 3/4</u> IN
LB/FT	IN	FT	FT	IN
LB/FT	IN	FT	FT	IN

GROUTING DATA

Grout Type	No. of Sacks	Grout Weight	From	To
<u>Pow H</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>1015</u> lb./gal	<u>0</u> ft.	<u>460</u> ft.
		lb./gal	ft.	ft.

Describe grouting procedure None

SCREEN: Perforated pipe Manufactured

Diameter 12 IN Length 460 FEET

Material _____

Slot Size _____ Set From _____ Feet to _____ Feet

Other information _____

WAS A PACKER OR SEAL USED? YES NO

If so, what material? Concrete

Describe packer(s) and location? _____

DISINFECTION: Was well disinfected upon completion?

YES, How: Chlorine

NO, Why Not? _____

Laboratory sent to for water quality analysis _____

Well Owner: Bill Curran
 Business Name: 350 Prairie St
 Address: Prairie Du Sac, WI 53578

WELL LOG:

FORMATION	DEPTH	
	FROM	TO
<u>Drift</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Lakota ss</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>Lakota ss</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>445</u>
<u>MORRISON</u>	<u>445</u>	<u>460</u>
<u>Makagapa 400-450</u>	<u>460</u>	<u>480</u>
<u>Makagapa</u>	<u>480</u>	<u>540</u>

STATIC WATER LEVEL 395 Feet

If flowing: closed in pressure _____ PSI

GPM flow _____ through _____ inch pipe

Controlled by Valve Reducers Other _____

Reduced Flowrate _____ GPM

Can well be completely shut in? _____

WELL TEST DATA:

Pumped white Describe: 4-5 gpm

Bailed _____

Other _____

Pumping Level Below Land Surface

_____ ft. After _____ Hrs. pumped _____ GPM

_____ ft. After _____ Hrs. pumped _____ GPM

If pump installed, pump rate _____ GPM

REMARKS Set pump at 500 ft

RECEIVED
FEB - 4 2002

This well was drilled under license # 324 **WATER RIGHTS PROGRAM**

And this report is true and accurate.

Drilling firm Bill Curran Drilling Inc

Signature of License Representative: _____

Signature of Well Owner or Equitable Property Holder: _____

Bill Curran

Date: 4/27/01

7-11-2

Water Well Completion Reports

SEARCH WELLS
Tools

DRILLERS

PUMP INSTALLERS

Disclaimer

Help



Search



Hide Search Results

Download Selected
(Excel)

Download Selected
PDFs

Email Results

Email To:

Found 1 match

Show More Results


Streets

<input type="checkbox"/> SELECT	BUSINESS	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	TYPE	COUNTY	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	RES	DATE COMPLETED	DEPTH	REPORT
<input type="checkbox"/>		BILL	CURRAN	DOM	Fall River	7S	2E	36		04/19/2001	540	00055360.pdf




Water Well Completion Reports

SEARCH WELLS Tools DRILLERS PUMP INSTALLERS Disclaimer Help



Search



18789

Compl Rpt: [00055360.pdf](#)
Last Name: CURRAN
First Name: BILL
Business:
County: Fall River
Well Type: DOM
Township:
Range:
Section: 36
QQ: SENE
Latitude: 43.400654
Longitude: -103.81856
Driller No.: 324
Compl Date: "Fri, 20 Apr 2001 00:00:00 GMT"
Permit No.:
Depth: 540
[Zoom to](#)

Hide Search Results

Download Selected (Excel)

Download Selected PDFs

Email Results

Email To:

Found 1 match

Show More Results

Streets

<input type="checkbox"/> SELECT	BUSINESS	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	TYPE	COUNTY	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	RES	DATE COMPLETED	DEPTH	REPORT
<input type="checkbox"/>		BILL	CURRAN	DOM	Fall River	7S	2E	36		04/19/2001	540	00055360.pdf