South Dakota Quality Assurance Project Plan for the Rotating Basin Project



South Dakota

Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry Watershed Protection Program April 2022 A1: Signature Page

ROTATING BASIN PROJECT

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN

SUBMITTED BY:

SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND FORESRTY WATERSHED PROTECTION PROGRAM

APPROVED BY:

South Dakota Watershed Protection Program

Administrator

South Dakota Watershed Protection Program Environmental Scientist Manager, Assessment Team

South Dakota Watershed Protection Program Environmental Scientist Manager, Implementation Team

South Dakota Watershed Protection Program Quality Assurance Officer

anna Mineral

South Dakota DANR Quality Assurance Officer Quality Assurance Officer

two

South Dakota Watershed Protection Program Rotating Basin Project Officer

Date

5-24-2022

Date

2-24-2022 Date

5-27-27 Date

A2: Table of Contents

A1: Signature Pagei
A2: Table of Contentsii
Table of Contents (Continued)ii
List of Tablesiii
List of Figuresiii
A3: Distribution List
A4: Project Organization
A5: Problem Definition/Background
A6: Project Description and Schedule4
In-Lake Sites
Rivers and Stream Sites
Basin Locations
A7: Data Quality Objectives and Criteria9
A8: Special Training and Certification
A9: Documents and Records
B1: Sampling Process Design
Rotation 1: May 2020-2021
Rotation 2: May 2022-2023
B2: Sampling Methods
B3: Sample Handling, Custody and Documentation14
B4: Analytical Methods
B5: Quality Control15
B6: Instrument/Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance
B7: Instrument/Equipment Calibration and Frequency16
B8: Inspection/Acceptance of Supplies and Consumables17
B9: Non-direct Measurements
B10: Data Management
C1: Assessment and Response Actions17
C2: Reports to Management
D1: Data Review, Verification, and Validation
D2: Verification and Validation Methods19
D3: Reconciliation with User Requirements

Table of Contents (Continued)

Appendix A: 2022 River/Streams and In-Lake Sites	20
Appendix B: SD DANR Water Quality Datasheet	23

List of Tables

Table 1: SD DANR Staff Distribution List	1
Table 2: Parameters to be Measured	5
Table 3: Subbasins of Rotations and Associated Lakes and Rivers	6
Table 4: Timeline for Rotating Basin Work Activities	9
Table 5: Sample Bottle Types for RBP	13
Table 6: Holding Times and Preservation Methods for RBP Samples	16

List of Figures

Figure 1: SD DANR Watershed Program Organizational Chart 2022	3
Figure 2: Proposed Rotating Basin Rotations	7

A3: Distribution List

The current version of the Rotating Basin Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) will be posted on the <u>DANR website</u>. It will also be saved under the file pathway; N:\WATRSHED\QAQC\QAPP's\SOPs.

Table 1. SD DANR staff distribution list.

Name	Title
Bill Smith	Division Director
Barry McLaury	Administrator Watershed Protection Program, Manager II
Paul Lorenzen	Team Leader/Environmental Scientist Manager I Assessment Team
Kris Dozark	Team Leader/Environmental Scientist Manager I Implementation Team

All personnel involved with assessment and implementation sampling activities for the South Dakota Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Watershed Protection Program (SD DANR WPP) shall receive a copy of this plan and therefore should be thoroughly familiar with WPP sampling policies, management structure, and procedures. Compliance with QAPP elements results in data collection and management that is valid and suitable for use in implementation, water quality and (TMDL) Total Maximum Daily Load assessments projects, other programs, and projects.

A4: Project Organization

The Local Coordinator Alan Wittmuss is responsible for training all personnel working on the project in appropriate quality assurance and sampling procedures.

Alan will develop a quality control chart for the project data which summarizes the stations, parameters analyzed, analytical methods, appropriate reporting units, precision, accuracy, and completeness. These elements will be summarized in an annual Quality Assurance Report that is submitted to the DANR Quality Assurance Officer (QAO).

The Rotating Basin Program Manager will be responsible for scheduling and coordinating lake monitoring efforts. Alan Wittmuss will serve as the primary contact for RBP. Alan will coordinate with the South Dakota State Public Health Laboratory (SD Health Lab), Mid Continent Testing Laboratories (Mid Continent), Aquatic Analysts Inc. and DANR WPP staff to facilitate collection and analysis of samples. All samples will be collected by DANR WPP staff. The RBP manager will coordinate with WPP staff regarding sample results and any recommended follow-up actions that may need to be taken.

The DANR QA Office, Tyler Frideres, will be responsible for interpreting the validity of the data. SD Health Lab analysts, Mid Continent analysts and Aquatic Analysts phycologists will be responsible for conducting the laboratory analyses according to their approved Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and ensuring that all field documentation submitted with samples has been satisfactorily completed. Alan Wittmuss, the RBP coordinator, will coordinate with WPP staff and laboratory partners to ensure that all samples are collected according to the standard operating procedures described in the SD DANR WPP <u>SOPs</u>.

The South Dakota State Public Health Lab (Pierre) and Mid Continent (Rapid City) will be responsible for laboratory analysis. The SD Health Lab will provide conventional chemical sample analysis for all samples collected by WPP staff in the Pierre, Vermillion, and Sioux Falls offices. Mid Continent will provide conventional chemical sample analysis for all samples collected by WPP staff in the Rapid City office.

Laboratory audits will occur as specified in the SD Health Lab QAPP/SOP, the Mid Continent QAPP/SOP. All field sampling will be conducted by DANR staff. Field audits will be conducted by the QA/QC officer or the RBP program manager on an as-needed basis.

Alan Wittmuss, the Rotating Basin Program Manager, will make sure that the QAPP is followed as approved; and that all DANR WPP staff working on the Rotating Basin Project (RBP) have access to the most current version of the QAPP and SOP and all necessary documents to sample for RBP. Personnel will be informed of all requirements for the project prior to any sampling.

Individual Responsibilities and Program Hierarchy:

The organizational chart in (Figure 1) visually demonstrates program hierarchy. Key individual responsibilities can be located in the <u>South Dakota Nonpoint Source Program QAPP</u>. The current version of the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) will be posted on the <u>DANR website</u>. It will also be saved under the file pathway; N:/WATRSHED/QAQC – SOP/QAPP.



Figure 1. SD DANR Watershed Program Organizational Chart 2022.

A5: Problem Definition/Background

The purpose of this monitoring project is to supplement surface water quality data collection efforts for waterbody assessment units identified in the Integrated Report. The existing data for a significant number of lake and stream segments do not meet the minimum criteria needed to determine impairment status. Data has been so infrequently collected that there may only be 1-2, if any, data points from the last 10 years. The age and/or paucity of data has significantly impacted the ability to make accurate assessments on these waterbodies. A statewide rotating basin strategy was implemented to target all waterbodies with existing assessment unit identification codes (AUIDs). The goal was to initiate a statewide effort to update the water quality data for each of these AUIDs on a 10-year cycle. The length of the monitoring cycle reflects the 10-year look back criteria outlined in listing methodology for making assessment decisions involved with Section 303(d).

Many AUIDs were created when these waterbodies were involved in previous monitoring projects, i.e. watershed type assessments, and have not been monitored since. Focusing on these lakes and streams will provide a current measure of the water quality status for these waterbodies. Waterbodies without AUIDs may be added to the monitoring network but this is dependent on resources and existing workloads.

Accurate assessments for waterbodies with respect to their beneficial uses ensures that limited resources will be expended in the appropriate areas needing water resource enhancement. This may lead to changes to existing use attainability and water quality standards, impairment designations, TMDL development, and implementation of best management practices with the hopes of full attainment of beneficial uses.

Most of the monitoring of these waters prior to now has been relegated to small projects in conjunction with the statewide ambient monitoring network. Samples are collected monthly from this network, but most of these sites are located on the larger lakes, rivers, and streams. Very few data points have been collected on the smaller lakes and numerous smaller streams identified in the Integrated Report. Increased monitoring is necessary for a more statistically accurate assessment of beneficial uses for future reporting cycles.

A6: Project Description and Schedule

With the limitations of the current surface water quality monitoring effort in SD becoming more apparent with each new integrated reporting cycle, a rotating basin approach was implemented. This approach rotates through each of river basins every two years so that at the end of 10 years each AUID waterbody will have a more statistically accurate and current set of water quality data. Once completed the basin rotation will start again ensuring that each AUID, at a minimum, will have new water quality information collected every two years out of every decade. The large area of SD precludes any statewide annual effort of collect data on all the classified waters listed in the ARSD.

Table 2. Parameters to be Measured

Physical	Chemical	Biological
Air temperature	Total Solids	E. coli.
Water temperature	Total Susp. Solids	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> **
Discharge*	Total Dissolved Solids	
Depth	Dissolved Oxygen	
Visual observations	Ammonia	
Water level*	Un-ionized Ammonia	
Specific Conductivity	Nitrate-Nitrite as N	
Field pH	TKN	
	Total Phosphate	
	Total Dissolved Phosphorus**	
* - Parameters measured ** - Parameters measured	if time and conditions allow d on in-lake sites only	

Discharge measurements and water-level data will be used, in conjunction with other data collection efforts, to calculate a stage-discharge rating curves for the river and tributary segments. If necessary, this hydrologic information will go towards TMDL.

In-Lake Sites

In-lake sites will consist of a composite sample of the lake, physical assessment, and biological assessment. The composite sample is recognized as a representation of the waterbody as a whole. Direction on how this composite sample is taken can be found in the SOP for Field Samplers <u>Volume I</u>. The chemistry samples obtained from the composite sample can be found in the Chemical column of Table 3. In addition, WPP staff obtains a grab sample for an *E. coli* assessment. The grab sample for *E. coli* is done at a swimming beach or primary boat ramp but is not limited to these areas.

The physical assessment is done using a multimeter sonde. This assessment is used to generate most of the physical data located in the Physical column of Table 3. The sonde is also used to take vertical profile measurements at each station location across the in-lake site. Direction on how to take a vertical profile can be located in the SOP for Field Samplers <u>Volume I</u>. The methods for sampling are outlined in greater detail in the B2 portion of this QAPP.

Rivers and Stream Sites

River and Stream Sites will consist of the collection of grab samples taken from a single location on the stream or river through the course of the 2-year/10-year cycle. Direction on how grab samples are collected can be found in the SOP for Field Samplers Volume I. Unlike the in-lake sites there will be no Chlorophyll-*a* samples taken. If river site needs additional discharge information and field samplers have additional time, they will take discharge measurement and a water level measurement. Direction on how to take a velocity or flow measurement can be found in the SOP for Field Samplers <u>Volume I</u>. For most sites there are manual wire weight gauge box used to generate water level measurements. These measurements help calibrate the radar sensors used to collect instantaneous stage. The methods for sampling are outlined in greater detail in the B2 portion of this QAPP.

Basin Locations

Basin Rotations consist of five primary rotations called the Big Sioux, Lower James, Upper James, Western, and Black Hills Basins. These basins will be sampled two years out of every ten; this allows for impairment assessment via Integrated Report. Figure 1 is the proposed basin rotation for the next ten years.

Subbasin	8-digit Hydrologic Unit Codes	# of HUCs	Rotation	Stream/River AUs*	Lake AUs*
Big Sioux	10170201-03	3			
Red	09010101,05	2	Big Sioux	12	57
Upper Minnesota	07020001,03	2		-13	51
Vermillion	10170102,03	2			
Lower James	10160011	1			
Lower Missouri Trench	10170101,04 10140101,04,05	5	Lower James	25	31
Keya Paha	10150006	1			
Niobrara	10150003-04	2			
Upper James	1016003-09	7	Linnar		
Upper Missouri Trench	10130102,05,06 10140103	4	James	21	52
White	10140201-04	4			
Bad	10140102	1			
Moreau	10130304-06	3			
Little Missouri	10110201-02	2	Western Basins	27	15
Grand	10130301-03	3			
Lower Cheyenne	10120112-13	2			
Upper Cheyenne	10120106-11	6	6 Black Hills 70		22
Belle Fourche	10120202-03	2	Basins	/0	23
* Number of A	UIDs taken from 2020	0 Integrate	ed Report.		

Table.3 Subbasins of Rotations and Associated Lakes and Rivers



Proposed Basins for a Rotating 10-year Monitoring Cycle

Figure. 2 Proposed Rotating Basin Rotations

Post sample collection, all sample bottles will be iced and shipped to the lab and collected using the methods described in the State of South Dakota Watershed Protection Programs <u>Standard Operating</u> <u>Procedures for Field Samplers Volume I</u>. Samples will be analyzed by the South Dakota Public Health Laboratory for the eastern portion of the State while Midcontinent will analyze the Black Hills portion of South Dakota's samples.

Same Day Express

605-366-3299

South Dakota State Public Health Laboratory

Dr. Tim Southern, Laboratory Director Stacy Ellwanger, Environmental Health Supervisor 615 East 4th St. Pierre, SD 57501-1700 Phone — 605-773-3368 or 1-800-738-2301 Fax — 605-773-6129

Mid Continent Testing

P.O. Box 3388 2381 South Plaza Drive Rapid City, SD 57709 Phone: 605-348-0111 Fax: 605-721-0265

Stream discharge and stage information will be measured if time and field conditions allow. However, the focus of this monitoring effort is to characterize the surface water quality of the various rivers, streams, and lakes in these basins.

Results from all water quality monitoring efforts will be reported in subsequent Integrated Reports. Data will be managed by the South Dakota Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources and maintained in a computer database. All sample data will be entered in the <u>US EPA WQX site</u>. It is anticipated that multiple implementation projects will be generated by this project.

Changes to the sampling approach identified in this QAPP will be documented as necessary. The schedule for the 2022 Rotating Basin field season is shown in Table 5. Please note that this table is an estimated timeline of events for the year.

Table 4. Timeline for Rotating Basin work activities.

	2022	2										
TASK	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
QAPP development	X	X	X	X								
QAPP Approval					X							
Sampling (DANR)					X	X	X	X				
Lab analysis (SD Health Lab, Mid Continent)						X	X	X	X			
Project Data Analysis										X	X	X

A7: Data Quality Objectives and Criteria

The primary data driven objectives for the Rotating Basin Project are to provide data for Section 303(d) assessment and TMDL development. Results from the sampling will be used by the State of South Dakota to make 303(d) listing assessments for streams and to develop Total Maximum Daily Load documents. Additionally, Rotating Basin Project archives long term trends in South Dakota Lake water quality and reassessment of the State every 10 years.

DANR will follow the criteria for deciding if data quality objectives have been met in terms of Completeness, Representativeness, Comparability, Precision, and Accuracy.

Completeness is a measure of the amount of valid data obtained from measurement systems compared to the amount that expected to be obtained under optimum conditions. For a set of data to be utilized with confidence to assess a parameter for a waterbody, the data must be complete, i.e., there must be enough valid data from analysis to facilitate making the assessment. The Rotating Basin dataset will be considered complete as long as 90% of planned samples are collected and analyzed.

Representativeness expresses the degree to which data accurately and precisely represents the characteristics of that which is being measured. All samples will be collected in such a manner and at such sites to be representative of the medium from which they are taken. Multiple stations within each lake will be sampled in order to get a representation of the entire lake and to account for wind and other currents. Lakes that are sufficiently small in surface area that environmental factors result in chemically homogenous waterbody, samples will be collected at a single central station.

E. coli samples will be collected at the lake's shoreline location where the greatest proportion of recreational activity takes place. The primary location for *E. coli* collection are public swimming beaches. Secondary locations for *E. coli* sample collection are public access areas such as boat ramps.

Comparability expresses the confidence with which one data set can be compared to another. Comparability can be measured and assessed using standard, published sampling and analytical data. The comparability of data is achieved by the commitment of SD DANR staff and contracted laboratories to use standardized methods, where possible, including the EPA-approved analytical methods, standard methods, or documented modifications thereof which provide equal or better results. All analytical results will be reported in appropriate concentration values and units to facilitate comparison.

Precision is a measure of the reproducibility of the measurement when an analysis is repeated. It is reported in Relative Percent Difference (RPD) or Relative Standard Deviation (RSD). Precision will be assessed through field duplicate and lab duplicate analyses. Collection of field blanks will also make sure there is no cross contamination.

Accuracy is a measure of how much of the constituent is present. It shows how close the sample value is to the "true" value. Accuracy will be assessed through the project by the collection of field blanks and duplicates. Also, following QA/QC practices will help ensure that accuracy is accounted for.

Please refer to the <u>SD DANR SOP Volume I</u> for measurements of precision and accuracy and specific procedures for corrective actions.

A8: Special Training and Certification

Any special training requirements needed for the completion of this project will be assigned on an asneeded basis. Alan Wittmuss, the project officer, will make sure that any necessary and needed training is documented and completed. All training and certifications will be discussed with the Quality Assurance Officer, Tyler Frideres, and reported on annually in the Program QAQC Report.

A9: Documents and Records

Documentation and record collection is an integral part of maintaining proper QA protocols. The project officer, Alan Wittmuss, will make sure that before any sampling is done, all staff have a copy of the most current version of the <u>SOP</u> and <u>QAPP</u>. Prior to project sampling, Alan will also make sure that all sampling sites have been entered into the NR92/WISKI database with the correct information and latitude and longitude coordinates. All data will be stored indefinitely on a SQL server and backed up to the state IT system. Information about sample analysis and location will be accessible to the public.

At the completion of the project, all project data, reports, documentation, and records will be given to the project officer and stored.

B1: Sampling Process Design

Sample design is based on generating a statistically robust set of data to accurately assess lakes, rivers, and streams across South Dakota. Each basin area identified in Figure 1 and Table 4 will be targeted separately on a bi-annual basis through the course of 10 years. Stations and sampling sites can change between cycles, so to convey transparency the most current and accurate site list can be found in the directory, "N:\WATRSHED\Waterbodies\Rotating Basins\" at the beginning of each basin area monitoring project. The stream and lake site list for the 2022 season can be found in Appendix A.

Rotation 1: May 2020-2021 Rivers and Streams

Collect water quality samples from 42 river and stream segment-monitoring sites. Samples from all 42 locations will be collected on a regular basis throughout the May 1 through September 30 considered the recreation season as defined in the Administrative Rules of South Dakota (ARSD). With no regard for flow conditions or storm events, river and tributary samples will be scheduled for collection twice a month. An estimated minimum number of 462 samples will be collected. Sampling will begin May 1 of 2020. An additional 92 samples will be used for quality assurance/quality control purposes.

In-Lake Sites

Collect water quality samples from 22 lakes monthly through May 1 through September 30 considered the recreation season as defined in the Administrative Rules of South Dakota (ARSD). An estimated total number of 132 samples will be collected. Sampling will begin May 1 of 2019. An additional 26 samples will be used for quality assurance/quality control purposes.

Rotation 2: May 2022-2023

Rivers and Streams

Collect water quality samples from 66 river and stream segment-monitoring sites. Samples from all 66 locations will be collected throughout the recreation season defined as May 1 through September 30 in the Administrative Rules of South Dakota (ARSD). 15 stream segments will be sampled for sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) and salinity for a total of 300 samples over both sampling seasons. With no regard for flow conditions or storm events, river and tributary samples will be scheduled for collection twice a month. An estimated total number of 660 samples will be collected over both sample seasons. Sampling will begin May 1 of 2022 and end September 30 of 2023. An additional 132 samples will be used for quality assurance/quality control purposes. A list of the rivers and stream sites for this rotation can be found in Appendix A.

In-Lake Sites

Collect water quality samples from 26 lakes three months out of the May 1 through September 30 recreation season as defined in the Administrative Rules of South Dakota (ARSD). An estimated total number of 162 samples will be collected over both sampling seasons. Lakes will be sampled for microcystin if an algal boom is identified. Sampling will begin May 1 of 2022 and end September 30 of 2023. An additional 34 samples will be used for quality assurance/quality control purposes. A list of the in-lake sites that will be visited can be found in Appendix A.

B2: Sampling Methods

In-Lake Methods:

Samples are collected by DANR WPP staff according to protocols defined in the <u>SD DANR Standard</u> <u>Operating Procedures document</u>.

Water for the composite sample will be collected to a depth twice the secchi disk measurement at each of the three composite stations on each lake, then deposited into the composite jug for mixing. The composite jug should be rinsed with DI water on shore before embarking onto the lake for sampling. The water will be collected using an integrated sampler tube constructed of PVC. After water has been collected at all three stations and deposited into the composite jug, sample bottles A, B, and chlorophyll-*a* will be filled

directly from the composite jug. To preserve the A bottle, place in a cooler with ice. To preserve the B bottle, add 2 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) and then invert the bottle to mix and place in a cooler with ice.

The *E. coli* sample (C bottle) will be collected at the designated bacteria station, which is located along the shoreline at either a public swimming beach, boat ramp, or other public access point. The collection method is a grab sample, where their sampler wades into the water and collects the sample at a depth of approximately 0.3m. The C bottle is preserved with sodium thiosulfate and is submerged in ice.

Vertical multimeter profiles will be collected using a multimeter probe that will be calibrated each day before use. The pH calibration will consist of a 2-point calibration at pH 7 and pH 10. The multimeter data filter will be set to the most rapid averaging period possible to minimize time needed for sensors to stabilize between measurements. A surface measurement will be logged at a depth of 0.5m and subsequent measurements will be logged at whole meter intervals starting at 1m. At least 20 seconds must pass between measurements to allow sensors to stabilize. A bottom measurement will be logged 0.5 meters above the substrate. It is important to not drop the multimeter sonde into the substrate with force, which suspends sediment and influences results.

Stream or River Methods:

Samples are collected by DANR WPP staff according to protocols defined in the <u>SD DANR Standard</u> <u>Operating Procedures document</u>.

When taking stream samples for RBP begin by calibrating the YSI multi-probe sonde. Fill the cooler with ice and label bottles. Rinse the 1L A and B sample bottles and caps 3 times with sampling water. Do not pre-rinse the C bottle, instead remove the plastic seal from around the neck of the bottle. Take all the grab samples by positioning the open end of the bottle toward the current flow and away from the hand of the collector. Plunge the bottle to avoid surface scum and fill to the neck of the bottle at a depth of 15 cm to 30 cm. To preserve the A bottle, place in a cooler with ice. To preserve the B bottle, add 2 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and then invert the bottle to mix and place in a cooler with ice. The C bottle is preserved with sodium thiosulfate and is submerged in ice. The ice should keep the bottles at a temperature of 6°C. Take a YSI reading from the stream by placing it in a flowing section of the stream and allowing the values to stabilize. Record YSI values on the lab datasheet.

Deliver A, B, and C bottles to the State Public Health Lab or Mid Continent Labs within the proper hold time of 48 hours for the A bottle, 28 days for the B bottle, and 24 hours for the C bottle. Ensure that preservation temperature is kept. Deliver the Chlorophyll-*a* bottle to the SD DANR lab for analysis.

Table 5. Sample bottle types for RBP.

Image	Bottle	Size	Lab	Preservation	Rinse
	A	500mL	Mid Continent Rapid City, SD	lce	Yes
	в	500mL	Mid Continent Rapid City, SD	1mL sulfuric acid, Ice	Yes, if not pre- preserved
In His	с	125mL	Mid Continent Rapid City, SD	Sodium Thiosulfate, Ice	No
	A	1-Liter Narrow Mouth Nalgene	Health Lab Pierre, SD	lce	Yes
	в	1-Liter Narrow Mouth Nalgene	Health Lab Pierre, SD	2mL sulfuric acid, Ice	Yes
	с	250mL	Health Lab Pierre, SD	lce	No
	Chi-a	500ml, 1- liter, or 2- liter (always brown)	DANR Lab Pierre, SD	lce	Yes

Site Disturbance:

Ensure that the sample site is not disturbed prior to collecting a sample. Disturbing the sediment can resuspend *E. coli* and result in uncharacteristically high turbidity and *E. coli* concentrations that wouldn't have been there if the site wasn't disturbed.

- Avoid walking in the water near the edge of the waterbody.
- Don't enter the waterbody prior to sample collection.

Sampling Equipment Cleaning:

The composite jug will be rinsed on shore with deionized water three times before field staff start sampling. The integrated sampler tube will be rinsed with lake water at each site before sample collection.

Field Duplicates:

A field duplicate is collected in the same manner as a regular sample. The duplicates are given their own sample number and labeled as "duplicate" for the sample type. A duplicate sample set consists of all bottle

types scheduled for collection from each lake. The field duplicate sample is factored into the total number of samples. A field duplicate will be collected for every 10 samples.

Blank:

A field blank is treated in the same manner as a regular sample. The blanks are given their own sample number and labeled as "blank." A blank sample set consists of all bottle types scheduled for collection from each lake. The field blank sample is factored into the total number of samples (e.g., one sample plus one duplicate and one field blank for a total of three samples). Field blanks should be filled with deionized water. A blank will be collected for every 10 samples.

Corrective Actions:

Corrective actions for deficiencies will be addressed immediately in the field or after lab receipt (documentation errors). Corrective actions include but are not limited to; discarding improperly collected or handled samples, re-sampling, and correcting labels or COC's. The RBP coordinator will work and communicate with the DANR staff and QA/QC officials in order to fix and issues or deficiencies with sample collection and documentation.

B3: Sample Handling, Custody and Documentation

All samples will be stored on ice or refrigerated (4-8°C) in the dark. If samples are being shipped the day of sample collection, they will be kept in the dark on ice until shipment. If samples are being held overnight, samples will be placed in a refrigerator until ready for shipment or delivery. Samples collected by Pierre, Sioux Falls, and Vermillion staff will be shipped to the SD Health Lab by using the SD Health Lab courier or Same Day Express. Samples collected by Rapid City staff will be hand delivered to Mid Continent. *E. coli* samples must be received by the lab within 24 hours of collection. The SD Health Lab and Mid Continent only accept samples Monday – Friday. Coordination of sampling schedule will be arranged prior to deployment by field staff. DANR staff will only sample Monday – Thursday so that all samples are received by the lab Monday - Friday.

Lab staff will check temperature of samples once received in order to verify that they are within the holding standards. If the temperature limit of 6° C is exceeded, samples will have to be discarded and if possible, a re-sample may need to occur.

Chain of Custody Form:

Chain-of-Custody forms are used to handle and track samples from field collection to delivery to the laboratory. Chain-of-Custody forms will be signed including the date and time when samples are shipped through an intermediary such as the SD Health Lab courier. The chain of custody form can be found under the pathway address; N:\WATRSHED\REFDEVA\Forms and Lists\REFDEVA Chain of Custody.

A SD DANR chain-of-custody form accompanies the samples, and includes the following for each sample:

- Waterbody/Location
- Site ID
- Date Sample Collected
- Time Sample Collected
- Sampler's Initials
- Identification of QC Sample Type: Field Sample, Field Duplicate, Field Blank
- Analysis Required

B4: Analytical Methods

The analysis of chemistry and *E. coli* samples will be conducted by the SD Health Lab in Pierre, SD and Mid Continent testing in Rapid City, SD. Chemistry samples will be analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 3. The SD Health Lab and Mid Continent Testing will follow all EPA approved methods for laboratory analysis. All DANR analytical methods for specific parameters can be found in the SD DANR WPP <u>SOP Volume I</u>.

B5: Quality Control

The SD Health Lab and Mid Continent are responsible for complying with their internal data quality requirements. If data quality requirements are not met, the specific laboratory will need to be in contact with project officer, Alan Wittmuss, in order to take necessary corrective actions. Data must be comparable for all samples within each sample set. Both the SD Health Lab and Mid Continent must follow in house QA/QC requirements. South Dakota DANR field staff and project partners will meet QA/QC guidelines and ensure that procedures including field duplicates, field blanks, field techniques, holding times, and forms are completed. On an annual basis, the project officer will evaluate blanks and duplicates of all samples and equipment to determine if acceptability requirements have been met. The South Dakota DANR field staff will follow their own QA/QC requirements of 10% (10% blanks and 10% replicates).

Field Techniques:

DANR field staff will ensure that all samples are collected using proper techniques and following SD DANR and EPA approved methodology.

Field Duplicate:

The field duplicate samples will provide an indication of variability withing the sampling. Selection of sites for duplication is determined by field staff. For replicates, (one out of 10) samples need to have a replicate to compare variability.

Field Blank:

A field blank QA/QC sample is supplied to the SD Health Lab and Mid Continent for analysis for each sampling event (one per 10 samples). The blank sample should be treated like all other samples for the remainder of the field visit, during transportation and shipment.

Holding Times:

Holding times for sampled collected during Rotating Basin are presented in Table 3.

Table 6. Holding	g times and	preservation	methods for	RBP samples.
------------------	-------------	--------------	-------------	--------------

Bottle	Size & Material	Preservative	Parameters	Holding Time
А	1,000 mL HDPE or 250 mL HDPE	Cool to 6°C	Alkalinity, total solids, TSS, volatile solids, TDS, BOD, CBOD, CO ₃ , Hardness, K, lab pH, lab conductivity, nitrate, chloride, fluoride, HCO ₃ , SO ₄	48 hours
В	1,000mLHDPEor250mL HDPE	$\begin{array}{l} 2 \text{ mL } H_2 SO_4 \\ pH <\!\! 2 \\ \text{Cool to } 6^\circ \! \text{C} \end{array}$	Ammonia, Nitrate+Nitrate, TKN, Total P, COD	28 days
С	100 mL or 250 mL sterilized HDPE	Na2SO3ifchlorinatedCool to 6°C	Fecal coliform, <i>E. coli</i> , total coliform, enterococci, fecal PFG	24 hours
Chlorophyll-a	500, 1,000, or 2,000 mL brown HDPE bottle	Cool to 6°C	Chlorophyll-a	48hours(unfiltered)28days(filtered)

B6: Instrument/Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance

Multi-meter thermometers will be checked against an NIST verified thermometer by the WPP equipment manager, Jordan Turgeon, on an annual basis and will be replaced if not within 1 degree C of the NIST thermometer. Results of this testing are supplied to the WPP and DANR QA/QC officers. Sensors for pH will be replaced on an annual basis or as-needed. Sensors for dissolved oxygen and temperature/specific conductance will be checked annual and replaced on an as-needed basis when sensor calibration constants are not within manufacturer specifications. If a sensor does not perform to manufacturer specifications during the field season, it will be replaced as soon as possible. Field data will not be recorded by a sensor not meeting manufacturer specifications. Field staff may contact the RBP project officer or the WPP equipment manager to obtain new sensors and parts for multi-meters.

B7: Instrument/Equipment Calibration and Frequency

Multi-meters will be calibrated for dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, and depth every day before use. If a significant change in barometric pressure or altitude occurs, dissolved oxygen should be calibrated again. If the multi-meter does not calibrate because a sensor is out of range, that sensor may not be used for that day. If possible, the sensor should be replaced before proceeding with sampling.

B8: Inspection/Acceptance of Supplies and Consumables

The SD Health Lab will provide deionized water to Pierre WPP staff for the QA/QC blank samples that will be collected during the field season. Field offices will use distilled water purchases locally for blank samples. If blank samples result in detectable levels of analytes, a new blank sample will be collected using a different source of deionized or distilled water to account for possible contamination from the deionized or distilled water.

Oakton brand calibration buffer solutions for pH 7 and pH 10 will be purchased from Cole Parmer, Inc. and must not be expired before use. Calibration solution for specific conductance will be made by the SD Health Lab chemists and supplied to WPP staff. Specific conductance calibration solution must be used within 6 months of when a bottle is opened, according to SD Health Lab chemists.

B9: Non-direct Measurements

Annual results from previous RBP sampling will be used to compare to the current year to look for any possible trends and to help build a long-term dataset for the lakes in South Dakota.

B10: Data Management

Information management occurs on several levels. First, sample collection must be completed in a manner to ensure the quality, compatibility, and timeliness of the data collected. Once collected and organized, it must be available for review, analysis, and interpretation. Ultimately, the data may be used in several aspects: to assess water quality of the waterbody based on beneficial use and provide general information to other interested organizations and the public.

All data and results will ultimately be entered into the DANR NR92 water quality database. The SD Health Lab will transmit sample results and field notes from the Laboratory Information Management System to the NR92 database electronically. Mid Continent will provide the RBP coordinator with sample results and field notes in MS Excel files monthly during field season that will be manually uploaded to the NR92 database.

Field notes for each site will be recorded on the DANR Chain-of-Custody form and include the following:

- Sampler(s) name(s)
- Station ID
- Date sample collected
- Time sample collected
- Additional observations

The RBP coordinator will be responsible for ensuring all RBP data is received from field staff and each lab and entered into the NR92 database. Once data is marked as approved by the RBP coordinator it will be available for public access on the DANR Water Quality Monitoring Access Portal website (<u>WQ Map</u>). Data may be downloaded directly from the NR92 database by DANR staff at any time.

C1: Assessment and Response Actions

The State of South Dakota is responsible for field sample collection and QA/QC procedures. Corrective actions for deficiencies will be addressed immediately in the field or will be resolved through collaboration of DANR staff.

The State of South Dakota will use the following assessments for RBP:

Surveillance:

The project officer will keep in constant contact with the WPP field staff, the SD Health Lab and Mid Continent to ensure that all QA/QC components are being followed.

Peer Review:

Peer review may be performed before a project starts and after a project is completed. Staff members will review the RBP project for completeness, accuracy, and proper documentation.

Field Performance Audit:

Field audits will be performed at the discretion of the RBP project officer or the SD DANR WPP QA/QC officer. Field audits will typically be performed on an as-needed basis.

Systems Audit:

A full scale systematic, qualitative review of equipment, personnel, training, procedures, record keeping, data validation, data management and reporting aspects of the SD DANR WPP program will be completed by the SD DANR QAO, Tyler Frideres, every 5 years to evaluate SD DANR WPP QA/QC procedures.

The EPA project manager or a representative of the Regional Quality Assurance Officer may, at any time, conduct an announced or unannounced audit or review of any data collection and analysis activities with assessment and implementation projects. This includes any contracts or subcontracts thereof used in the data collection and analysis effort.

C2: Reports to Management

On an annual basis the SD DANR WPP QAO, Tyler Frideres, will submit a quality assurance report to the SD DANR QAO, Shannon Minerich, who in turn reports the information to EPA and the Program Administrator in an annual report covering the SD RBP Program. All reports will also be sent to the Team Leader and Administrative Leader. The report should include the following:

- Assessment results of measurement data, accuracy, precision, and completeness
- Results of performance and system audits
- Quality assurance issues
- List of training activities including dates
- Corrective actions and results
- A list of all QA documents, including status, and if the document is for a new or continuing project; (this would be a list of all SOPs, QAPPs, PIPs, SAPs and indicate if they are new, under revision, or approved)

D1: Data Review, Verification, and Validation

The objective of data review is to assess whether the data collected achieved the quality objectives of the project. All analytical data generated for the Watershed Protection Program by a laboratory undergoes reduction and report preparation by the respective laboratory. Laboratory reports are reviewed by the project officer and the SD DANR WPP QAO for reasonableness. The field data recorded in the laboratory (date, time collected, depth, site number, etc.) are also checked against field reports for accuracy. Data review, verification, and validation are key steps in the transition from the assessment and TMDL phase to the implementation phase. Data review, verification, and validation are the responsibility of the Project

Officer and are accomplished by following quality assurance guidelines and criteria addressed in the <u>SD</u> <u>DANR WPP-SOP</u>, <u>Volume I</u>.

D2: Verification and Validation Methods

Data verification will include a review of the findings of all QA/QC assessment activities including:

- Appropriate sample collection and preparation of field transfer blank sample: assessed during sample collection by responsible field personnel.
- Chain-of-custody procedures: assessed by the responsible field personnel and laboratory sample custodians for the SD Health Lab, Mid Continent and Aquatic Analysts.
- Analytical data collection, recording, and reporting including laboratory QA/QC procedures: assessed by the SD Health Lab, Mid Continent and Aquatic Analysts.

Data review, verification, and validation are key steps in the transition from the data collection to data review and acceptance. Data review, verification, and validation are the responsibility of the project officer and are accomplished by following the quality assurance guidelines and criteria addressed in the <u>SD DANR</u> <u>WPP-SOP, Volume I</u>.

D3: Reconciliation with User Requirements

It will be the State of South Dakota's responsibility to assess and interpret data originating from RBP, but EPA assistance by the project manager is available upon request.

Waterbody	Location	DANR AUID
Annie Creek	Spearfish Creek to S3, T4N, R2E	SD-BF-R-ANNIE_01
Battle Creek ¹	Hwy 79 to mouth	SD-CH-R-BATTLE_01_USGS
Battle Creek ³	Near Horsethief Lake to Teepee Gulch Creek	SD-CH-R-BATTLE_01
Battle Creek ³	Teepee Gulch Creek to SD HWY 79	SD-CH-R-BATTLE_02
Bear Butte Creek ¹	Headwaters to Strawberry Creek	SD-BF-R-BEAR_BUTTE_01
Bear Butte Creek ¹	Strawberry Creek to S2, T4N, R4E	SD-BF-R-BEAR_BUTTE_02
Beaver Creek	WY border to Cheyenne River	SD-CH-R-BEAVER_01
Beaver Creek ¹	Near Buffalo Gap	SD-CH-R-BEAVER_01_USGS
Beaver Creek	S13, T5S, R4E to SD Hwy 79	SD-CH-R-BEAVER_02_USGS
Belle Fourche River ²	Redwater River to Whitewood Creek	SD-BF-R-BELLE_FOURCHE_02
Belle Fourche River ²	Whitewood Creek to Willow Creek	SD-BF-R-BELLE_FOURCHE_03
Belle Fourche River	Willow Creek to Alkali Creek	SD-BF-R-BELLE FOURCHE 04
Belle Fourche River ²	Wyoming border to Redwater River	SD-BF-R-BELLE FOURCHE 01
Belle Fourche River	Alkali Creek to mouth	SD-BF-R-BELLE FOURCHE 05
Box Elder Creek ³	Chevenne River to S22, T2N, R8E	SD-CH-R-BOX ELDER 01
Box Elder Creek	S16, T2N, R6E to S14, T3N, R4E	SD-CH-R-BOX ELDER 02
Cascade Creek	headwaters to Chevenne River	SD-CH-R-CASCADE 01
Castle Creek ³	Deerfield Reservoir to Rapid Creek	SD-CH-R-CASTLE 01
Cheyenne River ¹	WY border to Beaver Creek	SD-CH-R-CHEYENNE 01
Cheyenne River	Beaver Creek to Cascade Creek	SD-CH-R-CHEYENNE 02
Cheyenne River	Cascade Creek to Angostura Reservoir	SD-CH-R-CHEYENNE 02B
Cheyenne River	Fall River to Cedar Creek	SD-CH-R-CHEYENNE_03
Cheyenne River ³	Cedar Creek to Belle Fourche River	SD-CH-R-CHEYENNE_04
Cleopatra Creek	Confluence with East Branch Cleopatra Creek to mouth	SD-BF-R-CLEOPATRA_01
Crow Creek ¹	S22, T6N, R1E to Redwater River	SD-BF-R-CROW 01 USGS
Deadwood Creek	Rutabaga Gulch to Whitewood Creek	SD-BF-R-DEADWOOD 01
Elk Creek	S9 T3N R7E to S27 T4N R3E	SD-CH-R-ELK 01 USGS
Elm Creek ¹	S8 T8N R10E to Belle Fourche River	SD-BF-R-ELM 01
Fall River	Hot Springs to mouth	SD-CH-R-FALL 01
False Bottom Creek ¹	S26, T5N, R2E to Burno Gulch Creek	SD-BF-R-FALSE BOTTOM 01
Fantail Creek ¹	Headwaters to Nevada Gulch	SD-BF-R-FANTAIL 01
Flynn Creek	SF Lame Johnny Creek to S23, T4S, R5E	SD-CH-R-FLYNN_01
French Creek	S23, T3S, R3E to Custer	SD-CH-R-FRENCH 01
French Creek	Custer to Stockade Lake	SD-CH-R-FRENCH 02
French Creek	Stockade Lake to SD HWY 79	SD-CH-R-FRENCH 03
Grace Coolidge Creek	S12, T3S, R5E to Battle Creek	SD-CH-R-GRACE COOLIDGE 01
Grizzly Bear Creek ³	Near Keystone, SD	SD-CH-R- GRIZZLY BEAR 01 USGS
Highland Creek ¹	Wind Cave Natl Park and near Pringle, SD	SD-CH-R-HIGHLAND_01_USGS
Horse Creek ²	Indian Creek to mouth	SD-BF-R-HORSE 01 USGS
Horsehead Creek ¹	At Oelrichs	SD-CH-R-HORSEHEAD_01_USGS

Appendix A: 2022 River/Streams and In-Lake Sites

Iron Creek ³	From Battle Creek to S33, T2S, R5E	SD-CH-R-IRON_01					
Little Speerfich Creek	S16 TAN D1E to Smoothigh Creat	SD-BF-R-					
Little Spearnsn Creek	S10, 14N, KIE to Spearnsh Creek	LITTLE_SPEARFISH_01_USGS					
North Fork Rapid Creek ³	From confluence with Rapid Creek to S8, T3N, R3E	SD-CH-R-RAPID_N_FORK_01					
Rapid Creek ³	Canyon Lake to S15, T1N, R8E	SD-CH-R-RAPID 03					
Rapid Creek ³	S15, T1N, R8E to above Farmingdale	SD-CH-R-RAPID_04					
Rapid Creek ³	Above Farmingdale to Cheyenne River	SD-CH-R-RAPID_05					
Rapid Creek ³	Headwaters to Pactola Reservoir	SD-CH-R-RAPID_01					
Rapid Creek ³	Pactola Reservoir to Canyon Lake	SD-CH-R-RAPID_02					
Redwater River ¹	WY border to Hwy 85	SD-BF-R-REDWATER_01_USGS					
Redwater River	US HWY 85 to mouth	SD-BF-R-REDWATER_01					
	New Deshfeed CD	SD-CH-R-					
Rhoads Fork ³	Near Rochford, SD	RHOADS_FORK_01_USGS					
Spearfish Creek	Intake Gulch to Annie Creek	SD-BF-R-SPEARFISH 01					
Spearfish Creek	Annie Creek to Cleopatra Creek	SD-BF-R-SPEARFISH_02					
Spearfish Creek	Cleopatra Creek to Spearfish City intake dam in S33, T6N, R2E	SD-BF-R-SPEARFISH_04					
Spearfish Creek	Homestake Hydroelectric Plant at Spearfish in S15, T6N, R2E to Higgins Gulch	SD-BF-R-SPEARFISH_05					
Spearfish Creek	Higgens Gulch to mouth	SD-BF-R-SPEARFISH_06					
Spring Creek ³	S5, T2S, R3E to Sheridan Lake	SD-CH-R-SPRING_01					
Spring Creek ³	Sheridan Lake to SD HWY 79	SD-CH-R-SPRING_02					
Stewart Gulch	Whitetail Creek to NW1/4, NW1/4, S7, T4N, R3E	SD-BF-R-STEWART_01					
Victoria Creek ^{1,3}	Rapid Creek to S19, T1N, R6E	SD-CH-R-VICTORIA_01_USGS					
West Strawberry Creek ¹	Headwaters to mouth	SD-BF-R-W_STRAWBERRY_01					
Whitetail Creek	Whitewood Creek to S18, T4N, R3E	SD-BF-R-WHITETAIL 01					
Whitewood Creek	Gold Run Creek to Deadwood Creek	SD-BF-R-WHITEWOOD 02					
Whitewood Creek	Spruce Gulch to Sandy Creek	SD-BF-R-WHITEWOOD_04					
Whitewood Creek	Sandy Creek to I-90	SD-BF-R-WHITEWOOD 05					
Whitewood Creek	I-90 to Crow Creek	SD-BF-R-WHITEWOOD_06					
Whitewood Creek	Crow Creek to mouth	SD-BF-R-WHITEWOOD_07					
Whitewood Creek	Deadwood Creek to Spruce Gulch	SD-BF-R-WHITEWOOD_03					
Whitewood Creek ¹	Whitetail Summit to Gold Run Creek	SD-BF-R-WHITEWOOD_01					
 1 – Stream segments that will be sampled for Salinity and SAR. 2- Stream segments sampled by The Belle Fourche River Watershed Partnership 							

3- Stream located in the WDWDD

2022 In-Lake Sites

Appendix A: (continued)

2022 Lakes						
Waterbody	DANR AUID					
Cottonwood Springs Lake	SD-CH-L-COTTONWOOD_SPRINGS_01					
Lakota Lake	SD-CH-L-LAKOTA_01					
Newell Lake	SD-BF-L-NEWELL_01					
Orman Dam (Belle Fourche Reservoir)	SD-BF-L-ORMAN_01					
Curlew Lake	SD-CH-L-CURLEW_01					
Legion Lake	SD-CH-L-LEGION_01					
Iron Creek Lake	SD-BF-L-IRON_CREEK_01					
Mirror Lake East	SD-BF-L-MIRROR_EAST_01					
Mirror Lake West	SD-BF-L-MIRROR_WEST_01					
Newell City Pond	SD-BF-L-NEWELL_CITY_01					
Center Lake	SD-CH-L-CENTER_01					
Cold Brook Reservoir	SD-CH-L-COLD_BROOK_01					
Deerfield Lake ¹	SD-CH-L-DEERFIELD_01					
New Wall Lake	SD-CH-L-NEW_WALL_01					
Pactola Reservoir ¹	SD-CH-L-PACTOLA_01					
Sheridan Lake ¹	SD-CH-L-SHERIDAN_01					
Stockade Lake	SD-CH-L-STOCKADE_01					
Sylvan Lake	SD-CH-L-SYLVAN_01					
Angostura Reservoir	SD-CH-L-ANGOSTURA_01					
Bismark Lake	SD-CH-L-BISMARK_01					
Canyon Lake ¹	SD-CH-L-CANYON_01					
Horsethief Lake ¹	SD-CH-L-HORSETHIEF_01					
Bear Butte Lake	SD-BF-L-BEAR_BUTTE_01					
Cox Lake	SD-BF-L-COX_01					
New Underwood Lake ¹	SD-CH-L-NEW_UNDERWOOD_01					
Roubaix Lake	SD-CH-L-ROUBAIX_01					
1- Lake located in the WDWDD						

Appendix B: SD DANR Water Quality Datasheet

Agency Code		SD DAN	R Water Q	uality Data	I		Rev 05/21
Sample Date	Time	San Prin	nplers t/Sign				
Source Water		II		Station ID			
Site Location							
Project					Project	ID	
Type of	Replicate 🔲 Grab	Integrated Vertic	al	Medium	Water / Other		
Sample 📃	Blank 🔲 Composite	Integrated Flow	R	elative Depth	Surface	Bottom	Midwater
H2O Temp	C Sample Dep	th F	Field Comments				
SPC	µmho/cm Total Dep	th F	ť				
DO	mg/L Wid	th F	t				
pН	SU Gage Stag	ge F	t				
Secchi	Meters Discharg	ge C	FS				
All Samples	must be packed in ice and cl	nilled to 6 C					
A - 1 Liter Alkalinity TSOL	D - 100 mL Filtered + pH<2 0.25 mL H2SO4	C - 100 mL Idex Na2S03 if source is Chlorinated Note: Use 250 mL bottle if requesting multiple tests Fecal Coliform* Total Coliform Fecal PFGE Ecoli* Entercocci*		Dissolved Metals - 250 mL Filtered + pH<2 ~1.5 mL HNO3	Recoverable Metals - 250 mL pH<2 ~1.5 mL HNO3		
	R - 4L Cube	V-40mL	V1-40 mL	V2-120 mL	V3-120 mL		
	🔳 Ra 226 🔲 Ra 228	3 - 40 mL Amber Violo 0.5 mL HCL	2 - 40 mL Amber	120 mL Amber	120 mL Amber Pottlo Eitland 15	Sb	Al Sh
BOD	CN - 150 mL	Zero Head Space	Zero Head Space	H2SO4	mL H2SO4	As Ba	As
		TPH Gas	Voc	🔳 тос	DOC	Be	🔳 Ba
Hardness	H - Liter Glass Amber	Lab Comments				Cd	B
🔲 к	pH<2 ~2 mL HCL						Cr
Lab Cond	Lab Cond					Hg	U Cu
<i>pH<2~2 mL HCL</i>						Ni	Pb
🔳 НСОЗ	Oil Grease					Se 🔲 Ag	Se
SO4	Dissolved Metals - 100 mL					Ti	Ag Ti
B - 1 Liter	Filtered + pH<2 ~0.5 mL HNO3					U V	
~2 mL H2SO4	Ca Na Mg Mn K Fe					Zn Mo	Zn
Ammonia	Recoverable Metals - 100					Silica	Mo 📃
NO3+NO2-N ТКN	mL	Relinquished By:			Date	/Time	
Total P	pH<2~0.5 mL HNO3	Received By: Date/Time				/Time	
COD	COD Ca Na Mg		Date/Time				
E - 1 Liter Filtered		Received By:			Date	/Time	
HCO3 CI SO4 Fluoride		Relinquished By:	Date/Time				
		Received By:			Date	e/Time	
Sample Temp (C) Date / Tin		Time Received			Lab #		